

# Assignment 1 Solution

July 14, 2006

## Problem 1

There are a few ways of doing this problem; here's one:

' $\Rightarrow$ ' If  $\gcd(a, c) = \gcd(b, c) = 1$ , then  $a$  and  $c$  have no common prime factors, and neither do  $b$  and  $c$ . If  $c$  has no prime factors in common with  $a$  or  $b$ , then it certainly cannot have any prime factors in common with  $ab$ . So  $\gcd(ab, c) = 1$

' $\Leftarrow$ ' If  $\gcd(ab, c) = 1$ , then that means that  $c$  and  $ab$  have no prime factors in common. Hence  $c$  cannot have any prime factors in common with  $a$  or  $b$ . So  $\gcd(a, c) = \gcd(b, c) = 1$ .

## Problem 2

We use the contrapositive: instead of proving " $2^p - 1$  prime  $\Rightarrow p$  prime," we prove the logically equivalent statement " $p$  not prime  $\Rightarrow 2^p - 1$  not prime". Suppose  $p$  is not prime; then we can write  $p = ab$  for some integers  $a$  and  $b$  greater than 1. Then we can write the following:

$$2^p - 1 = 2^{ab} - 1 = (2^a)^b - 1 = (2^a - 1)(1 + 2^a + 2^{2a} + \dots + 2^{(b-1)a})$$

So now  $2^p - 1$  is divisible by  $2^a - 1$ . Since  $a > 1$ ,  $2^a - 1$  is an integer greater than 1, so  $p$  is not prime.

## Problem 3

The correct matches are:

- $P \rightarrow \sim Q$  is equivalent to  $\sim (P \wedge Q)$

As an example, the truth table for this one would look like this:

$P$	$Q$	$\sim Q$	$P \rightarrow \sim Q$	$P \wedge Q$	$\sim (P \wedge Q)$
$T$	$T$	$F$	$F$	$T$	$F$
$T$	$F$	$T$	$T$	$F$	$T$
$F$	$T$	$F$	$T$	$F$	$T$
$F$	$F$	$T$	$T$	$F$	$T$

- $P \leftrightarrow (P \wedge Q)$  is equivalent to  $P \rightarrow Q$
- $(P \vee Q) \wedge \sim (P \wedge Q)$  is equivalent to  $P \leftrightarrow \sim Q$
- $P \rightarrow \sim P$  is equivalent to  $\sim P$
- $(P \vee Q) \leftrightarrow (P \wedge Q)$  is equivalent to  $P \leftrightarrow Q$

#### Problem 4

- “Pigs are fish or  $2 + 2 \neq 4$ .” This is false, because both sides of the “or” are false.
- “ $2 + 2 = 4$  if and only if Canada is not in Asia.” This is true, because both sides of the “if and only if” are true.
- “If  $2 + 2 \neq 4$ , then Canada is in Asia and pigs are not fish.” This is true, because “ $2 + 2 \neq 4$ ” is false.
- “If pigs are fish, then pigs are not fish.” This is true, because “pigs are fish” is false.

#### Problem 5

- Let  $P$  mean “I need to go to Oxnard” and  $Q$  mean “I need to go to Lampoc”. We get  $P \wedge Q$ .
- Let  $P$  mean “ $k$  is even,”  $Q$  mean “ $k$  is bigger than 2,” and  $R$  mean “ $k$  is prime”. Then we get  $(P \wedge Q) \rightarrow \sim R$ .
- Let  $P$  mean “It rains in the next week,”  $Q$  mean “We will have vegetables,” and  $R$  mean “We will have flowers”. Then we get

$$[\sim P \rightarrow \sim (Q \vee R)] \wedge (P \rightarrow R)$$

This simplifies to  $(Q \rightarrow P) \wedge (P \leftrightarrow R)$

### Challenge Problem 1

This problem remains unsolved... Someone please solve it so that I can give everyone the solution!

### Challenge Problem 2

The problem consisted of proving that there are an infinite number of primes of the form  $6n + 1$ . Recall that we originally proved that there were an infinite number of primes by doing the following:

Assume there is a finite number of primes  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n$ . Now consider the number  $x = p_1 p_2 \dots p_n + 1$ . This number is not divisible by any of the primes  $p_i$ , so it must be a new prime. This contradicts the assumption that the only primes were  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n$ . Therefore there are an infinite number of primes.

Now remember that 2 and 3 are prime numbers. Therefore in the above proof, we can assume that 2 and 3 are in the set of  $p_i$ 's – let's say  $p_1 = 2$  and  $p_2 = 3$ . Then the new number  $x$  is of the form  $x = 2 \cdot 3 \cdot p_3 p_4 \dots p_n + 1 = 6k + 1$ . And we know that  $x$  is prime – so  $x$  is a prime of the form  $6n + 1$ . Since there are an infinite number of primes, we can form an infinite number of “new primes” in the same way; hence there are an infinite number of primes of the form  $6n + 1$ .