

PRACTICE MIDTERM EXAM  
Solutions

1. Let  $\zeta = e^{\pi i/2n}$  be a primitive  $4n$ th root of unity.

(a) Prove that

$$\zeta + \zeta^3 + \zeta^5 + \dots + \zeta^{2n-1} = \frac{i}{\sin(\pi/2n)}$$

(b) Let  $C$  be the rectangle with vertices  $1$ ,  $1 + i$ ,  $-1 + i$  and  $-1$ . Evaluate the following integral:

$$\oint_C \frac{dz}{z^{2n} + 1}.$$

The first sum is a geometric series. We compute that

$$\zeta(1 + \zeta^2 + \dots + \zeta^{2n-2}) = \zeta \cdot \left( \frac{\zeta^{2n} - 1}{\zeta^2 - 1} \right) = \frac{-2\zeta}{\zeta^2 - 1},$$

where we use the fact that  $\zeta^{2n} = e^{\pi i} = -1$ . Manipulating this expression we see that:

$$\frac{-2\zeta}{\zeta^2 - 1} = \frac{-2}{\zeta - \zeta^{-1}} = \frac{2i \cdot i}{e^{\pi i/2n} - e^{-\pi i/2n}} = \frac{i}{\sin(\pi/2n)}.$$

The poles of  $1/(z^{2n} + 1)$  are exactly the zeros of  $z^{2n} = -1$ , which are given by  $\zeta^{2k-1}$  for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, 2n$ , where  $\zeta = e^{\pi i/2n}$ . The odd powers of  $\zeta$  contained inside  $C$  are exactly the first  $n$  odd powers. Since all the poles are simple poles, the residue at  $\omega = \zeta^{2k-1}$  is given by

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow \omega} \frac{(z - \omega)}{z^{2n} + 1} = \lim_{z \rightarrow \omega} \frac{1}{2nz^{2n-1}} = \frac{\omega}{2n\omega^{2n}} = \frac{\zeta^{2k-1}}{-2n}.$$

Here we have used l'Hôpital's rule, as well as the fact that  $\omega^{2n} + 1 = 0$ . Thus by the residue theorem,

$$\oint_C \frac{dz}{z^{2n} + 1} = 2\pi i \sum \text{residues} = 2\pi i \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\zeta^{2k-1}}{-2n} = \frac{\pi}{n \sin(2\pi/n)}.$$

2. Let  $f(z)$  be a holomorphic function, and let  $u(x, y)$  denote the real part of  $f(x + iy)$ . Show that

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} = 0,$$

justifying all steps.

Since  $f$  is holomorphic, it is infinitely differentiable, and so in particular,  $v$  has continuous second partial derivatives. Thus

$$\frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x \partial y} = \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial y \partial x}.$$

Using this, the result is a straightforward application of the Cauchy–Riemann equations, since

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x \partial y},$$

and

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( -\frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \right) = -\frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial y \partial x}.$$

3. Let  $f(z)$  be holomorphic, except for a singularity at  $z = 0$ .

- (a) Prove that if  $1/f(z)$  is bounded in some neighbourhood of zero, then  $f(z)$  has a pole of finite order at  $z = 0$ .
- (b) Suppose that  $f(z)$  has an essential singularity at 0. Let  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  be any complex number. Show that in any neighbourhood of 0,  $f(z) - \lambda$  becomes arbitrarily close to 0.

Suppose that  $1/f(z)$  is bounded in a neighbourhood of 0. Then by Riemann's removable singularity theorem  $g(z) := 1/f(z)$  extends to a holomorphic function at 0. Clearly  $g(z)$  is not identically 0. Thus by considering the Taylor series of  $g(z)$  at  $z = 0$  we see that

$$g(z) = a_n z^n + a_{n+1} z^{n+1} + \dots$$

for some  $a_n \neq 0$ . In particular,

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \frac{g(z)}{z^n} = a_n \neq 0.$$

Inverting this limit we see that:

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow 0} z^n f(z) = \frac{1}{a_n} \neq 0.$$

Thus  $f(z)$  has a pole of order  $n$  at 0. (If  $n = 0$ , then  $f(z)$  would not have a singularity at  $z = 0$ ).

If  $f(z)$  has an essential singularity at 0 then clearly  $f(z) - \lambda$  does also. Assume that  $f(z) - \lambda$  does not become arbitrarily close to zero. Then  $1/(f(z) - \lambda)$  is bounded in a neighbourhood of 0, and so by part (a),  $f(z) - \lambda$  has a pole of finite order at  $z = 0$ . This is a contradiction, so  $f(z) - \lambda$  must become arbitrarily close to zero.

4. Let  $f(z)$  be an entire function such that  $f(z + 1) = f(z)$ .

(a) By taking the branch cut of  $\log z$  along the negative real axis, the function

$$F(z) := f\left(\frac{\log z}{2\pi i}\right)$$

becomes holomorphic for all  $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus [-\infty, 0]$ . Prove that  $F(z)$  extends to a holomorphic function for all  $z \neq 0$ .

(b) By letting  $z = e^{2\pi i\tau}$ , show using the Laurent series for  $F(z)$  that for all  $\tau \in \mathbb{C}$ ,

$$f(\tau) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n e^{2\pi i\tau},$$

where

$$a_n = \int_0^1 f(z) e^{-2\pi i n z} dz.$$

We define a second function  $G(z)$ , as follows. Let

$$G(z) := f\left(\frac{\log' z}{2\pi i}\right)$$

after taking some branch cut of  $\log z$  along the *positive* real axis. Then clearly  $G(z)$  is holomorphic for all  $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus [0, \infty]$ . If for all  $z$  in the common domain of  $F$  and  $G$  we can prove that  $F(z) = G(z)$ , it follows that  $F(z)$  extends to a holomorphic function on the negative real axis by defining  $F(-x) = G(-x)$ . To compare  $F(z)$  and  $G(z)$ , note that any two different branches of  $\log z$  differ by some integer multiple of  $2\pi i$ . Thus

$$G(z) - F(z) = f\left(\frac{\log' z}{2\pi i}\right) - f\left(\frac{\log z}{2\pi i}\right) = f\left(\frac{\log z + 2\pi i n}{2\pi i}\right) - f\left(\frac{\log z}{2\pi i}\right).$$

Yet for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $f(\tau + n) - f(\tau) = 0$ , and so

$$G(z) - F(z) = f\left(\frac{\log z}{2\pi i} + n\right) - f\left(\frac{\log z}{2\pi i}\right) = 0.$$

Since  $F(z)$  is holomorphic for all  $z \neq 0$ , It has a Laurent Series which converges for all  $z \neq 0$ . In particular,

$$F(z) = \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \quad a_n = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_C \frac{F(z)}{z^{n+1}} dz.$$

for some loop  $C$  around 0. Let  $C$  be the unit circle in  $\mathbb{C}$ . Make the substitution  $z = e^{2\pi i \tau}$  in the integral for  $a_n$ . Then

$$a_n = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_0^1 \frac{2\pi i \cdot e^{2\pi i \tau} F(e^{2\pi i \tau})}{e^{2\pi i(n+1)\tau}} d\tau = \int_0^1 F(e^{2\pi i \tau}) e^{-2\pi i n \tau} d\tau.$$

On the other hand,

$$F(e^{2\pi i \tau}) = f\left(\frac{\log(e^{2\pi i \tau})}{2\pi i}\right) = f(\tau).$$

Thus we are done.

5. Let  $P(x) = x^n + a_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \dots + a_1x + a_0$  be a polynomial of degree  $n$ . Let  $R$  be a region containing all the zeros of  $P(x)$ , and let  $C$  be the boundary of  $R$ . Evaluate the integral

$$\oint_C \frac{xP'(x)}{P(x)} dx.$$

Since the coefficient of  $x^n$  in  $P(x)$  is 1, we may write

$$P(x) = \prod_{i=1}^n (x - \alpha_i),$$

where  $\alpha_i$  are the roots of  $P(x)$  (with multiplicity). As in class, we find that

$$\frac{P'(x)}{P(x)} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{x - \alpha_i}.$$

Thus since all the roots lie within the contour, from the residue theorem we see that

$$\oint \frac{xP'(x)}{P(x)} = \oint \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{x}{x - \alpha_i} dx = 2\pi i \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i.$$

On the other hand, we have that

$$P(x) = (x - \alpha_1)(x - \alpha_2) \cdots (x - \alpha_n) = x^n - (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_n)x^{n-1} + \dots$$

and so

$$\oint \frac{xP'(x)}{P(x)} = -2\pi i a_{n-1}.$$