

## MATH 113 PROBLEM SET 5, PARTIAL SOLUTIONS

Due October 17, 2003

- (5) In class, we saw that, for a polynomial  $p(z)$  and a simple closed curve  $\Gamma$ , the number of zeros of  $p$  inside  $\Gamma$  is equal to the winding number of  $p(\Gamma)$  around 0.
- (a) Show that this is also true for a general analytic function  $f(z)$  which is analytic on a disk containing  $\Gamma$ .

*Approach 1: "Factor out" the zeros of  $f(z)$  to get another function  $g(z)$  and show that the winding number of  $g(\Gamma)$  around 0 is equal to 0.*

Suppose that  $f(z)$  is analytic on the disk  $D$ . The set of zeros of  $f(z)$  has no accumulation points, so  $f(z)$  has only a finite number of zeros on  $D$ . Let  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  be the zeros (with multiplicities) of  $f(z)$ . Then the function

$$g(z) = \frac{f(z)}{(z - \alpha_1) \cdots (z - \alpha_n)}$$

is analytic away from the  $\alpha_i$ , can be extended continuously to the  $\alpha_i$ , and so can be extended to an analytic function on  $D$ ; furthermore,  $g(z)$  is non-zero. Then, as in the case of polynomials, we have that the winding number of a product equals the sum of the winding numbers:

$$\begin{aligned} n(f(\Gamma), 0) &= n(\Gamma - \alpha_1, 0) + \cdots + n(\Gamma - \alpha_n, 0) + n(g(\Gamma), 0) \\ &= n(\Gamma, \alpha_1) + \cdots + n(\Gamma, \alpha_n) + n(g(\Gamma), 0) \end{aligned}$$

Each term  $n(\Gamma, \alpha_i)$  is 1 if  $\alpha_i$  is contained inside the simple curve  $\Gamma$ , and 0 otherwise, so the first terms give the total number of zeros of  $f(z)$  contained inside  $\Gamma$ . It remains to show that the last term,  $n(g(\Gamma), 0)$ , is 0. Since the disk  $D$  is simply connected, there is a homotopy from  $\Gamma$  to a trivial loop inside of  $D$ . (See the handout for an explicit homotopy.) Composing with  $g$ , we also get a homotopy from  $g(\Gamma)$  to a trivial loop; since  $g$  is never 0, this is a homotopy inside of  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ , so the winding number does not change over the course of the homotopy, and  $n(g(\Gamma), 0) = 0$ .

*Approach 2: Show that*

$$\int_{f(\Gamma)} \frac{dz}{z} = \int_{\Gamma} \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} dz$$

*and analyze the behaviour of  $f'(z)/f(z)$  near a zero of  $f(z)$ .*

Let the curve  $\Gamma$  be parametrized by  $z = \gamma(t)$ , with  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Then, by definition of the complex line integral,

$$\int_{\Gamma} \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} dz = \int_0^1 \frac{f'(\gamma(t))}{f(\gamma(t))} \gamma'(t) dt,$$

while

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{f(\Gamma)} \frac{dz}{z} &= \int_0^1 \frac{\frac{d}{dt}f(\gamma(t))}{f(\gamma(t))} dt \\ &= \int_0^1 \frac{f'(\gamma(t))\gamma'(t)}{f(\gamma(t))} dt\end{aligned}$$

These two are the same, so to find the winding number of  $f(\Gamma)$  around 0 (given by  $\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{f(\Gamma)} dz/z$ ), it suffices to evaluate the integral  $\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} f'(z)/f(z) dz$ . The easiest way to calculate this integral is to apply the Residue Theorem. The poles of  $f'(z)/f(z)$  can only occur when the denominator  $f(z)$  has a zero. Suppose the denominator  $f(z)$  has a zero of order  $k$  at  $\alpha$ ; then we have a power series expansion

$$\begin{aligned}f(z) &= a_k(z - \alpha)^k + a_{k+1}(z - \alpha)^{k+1} + \dots \\ f'(z) &= ka_k(z - \alpha)^{k-1} + (k+1)a_{k+1}(z - \alpha)^k + \dots \\ \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} &= k(z - \alpha)^{-1} + \dots\end{aligned}$$

so  $f'(z)/f(z)$  has a pole with residue  $k$  at  $\alpha$ . Now  $\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} f'(z)/f(z) dz$  is the sum of the residues of the poles of  $f'(z)/f(z)$  at the poles included inside  $\Gamma$  (since  $\Gamma$  is a simple closed curve, so all the winding numbers are 1), which, by the computation above, is the sum of the orders of the zeros of  $f(z)$  inside of  $\Gamma$ , as desired.

- (b) Define the “inside” of a general curve  $\Gamma$  to be the set of points  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  so that  $n(\Gamma, z) \neq 0$ . Show that, under the assumptions above, every point in the inside of  $\Gamma$  gets mapped to the inside of  $f(\Gamma)$ .

(Recall that the assumptions above were that  $f$  is analytic on a disk and that  $\Gamma$  is a simple closed curve contained inside the disk.)

First let us generalize the result from the first part. We found that the number of zeros of  $f(z)$  inside of  $\Gamma$  was equal to the winding number of  $f(\Gamma)$  around 0. By replacing  $f(z)$  by  $f(z) - a$ , we can also see that the number of times  $f$  takes the value  $a$  inside  $\Gamma$  (with multiplicity) equals the winding number of  $f(\Gamma)$  around  $a$ . In particular, for any point  $z$  on the inside of  $\Gamma$ , the value  $f(z)$  is obtained at least once, so the winding number of  $f(\Gamma)$  around  $f(z)$  is at least one, and so  $f(z)$  is on the inside of  $f(\Gamma)$  as defined in the statement of the problem.

Note that it is crucial that  $\Gamma$  is a simple closed curve: if not, we would have to take into account the winding number of  $\Gamma$  around the different points at which  $f$  takes the value  $a$ ; if  $\Gamma$  has negative winding number around some points, we could get cancellation.

- (c) Show how this result generalizes the Maximum Modulus principle.

Let  $R$  be the maximum value of  $|z|$  on the curve  $f(\Gamma)$ . Then every point on the inside of  $f(\Gamma)$  is contained inside the disk  $D_R$  of radius  $R$  around 0. There are several ways to see this. For instance, for  $a$  outside of  $D_R$ , a straight line from  $a$  out to infinity does not intersect  $f(\Gamma)$ , so the winding number is 0 according to the counting intersections criterion. But by the previous part, every point on the inside of  $\Gamma$  maps to the inside of  $f(\Gamma)$ , and so in particular maps inside  $D_R$ , and so the maximum absolute value of the function  $f$  on the region bounded by  $\Gamma$  occurs on  $\Gamma$  itself.

- (7) (Note: there were several typos in the statement of this problem; in particular, the behavior of  $\epsilon(x, y)$  as  $x, y \rightarrow 0$  was stated wrong. A corrected statement is below.) Let  $f(z)$  be an

arbitrary function on  $\mathbb{C}$  with values in  $\mathbb{C}$  with two continuous (real) derivatives. In other words, near each  $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $f$  can be written as

$$f(z_0 + x + iy) = f(z_0) + f_x(z_0)x + f_y(z_0)y + f_{xx}(z_0)\frac{x^2}{2} + f_{xy}(z_0)xy + f_{yy}(z_0)\frac{y^2}{2} + \epsilon(x, y)$$

where  $\lim_{x, y \rightarrow 0} \epsilon(x, y)/|x + iy|^2 = 0$ .

Define the Laplacian of  $f$  as

$$\Delta f(z) = \left( \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right)^2 \right) f(z) = f_{xx}(z) + f_{yy}(z).$$

(a) Show that

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(z_0 + re^{i\theta}) d\theta = f(z_0) + \frac{1}{4}r^2 \Delta f(z_0) + \epsilon'(r)$$

where  $\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \epsilon'(r)/r^2 = 0$ .

By the Taylor expansion for  $f(z_0 + re^{i\theta})$ , we can rewrite the integral, with  $x = r \cos \theta$  and  $y = r \sin \theta$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(z_0 + re^{i\theta}) d\theta &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} (f(z_0) + f_x(z_0)r \cos \theta + f_y(z_0)r \sin \theta + \\ &\quad + f_{xx}(z_0)\frac{r^2}{2} \cos^2 \theta + f_{xy}(z_0)r^2 \sin \theta \cos \theta + f_{yy}(z_0)\frac{r^2}{2} \sin^2 \theta + \epsilon(r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta)) d\theta \end{aligned}$$

All the trigonometric integrals are easy to do. Three of them are zero, so we have:

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(z_0 + re^{i\theta}) d\theta = f(z_0) + \frac{r^2}{4} (f_{xx}(z_0) + f_{yy}(z_0)) + r^2 \int_0^{2\pi} \epsilon(r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta) d\theta$$

If we set  $\epsilon'(r) = r^2 \int_0^{2\pi} \epsilon(r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta) d\theta$ , we have the desired expansion. Finally, we need to check that we have a valid Taylor expansion:

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \epsilon'(r)/r^2 = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \int_0^{2\pi} (\epsilon(r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta)/r^2) d\theta$$

But the integrand is just  $\epsilon(x, y)/|x + iy|^2$  evaluated at  $x = r \cos \theta$  and  $y = r \sin \theta$ , which goes to 0 as  $r$  goes to 0.

(b) Show that if  $f$  is harmonic, then  $\Delta f$  is identically 0.

If  $f$  is harmonic, then the average value on any circle centered at  $z_0$  equals the value at the center:

$$\begin{aligned} f(z_0) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(z_0 + re^{i\theta}) d\theta \\ &= f(z_0) + \frac{r^2}{4} \Delta f(z_0) + \epsilon'(r). \end{aligned}$$

Subtracting  $f(z_0)$  from both sides and dividing by  $r^2$ , we find:

$$0 = \frac{1}{4} \Delta f(z_0) + \frac{\epsilon'(r)}{r^2}$$

Taking the limit as  $r \rightarrow 0$ , we get the desired result.

(c) Conversely, use the factorization

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right)^2 = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + i\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right)\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - i\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right)$$

to show that if  $\Delta f = 0$ , then there exist analytic functions  $g(z)$  and  $h(z)$  so that

$$f(z) = g(z) + \bar{h}(z).$$

That is, the only harmonic functions are sums of analytic and anti-analytic functions.

Consider the function

$$p(z) = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - i\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right) f(z).$$

Since  $f$  satisfies  $\Delta f = 0$ , we have

$$\Delta f(z) = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + i\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right) p(z) = 0.$$

But this says that

$$p_x(z) + ip_y(z) = 0$$

or, in other words, that  $g$  satisfies the Cauchy-Riemann equations  $p_y = ip_x$ . Since the second derivatives of  $p$  exist,  $p_x$  and  $p_y$  are continuous, and so  $p(z)$  is complex-differentiable and therefore analytic.

Now suppose that we had

$$f(z) = g(z) + \bar{h}(z)$$

as desired. Then we would have

$$p(z) = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - i\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right) f(z) = (g_x - ig_y)(z) + (\bar{h}_x - i\bar{h}_y)(z).$$

But if  $g$  is analytic, then  $g_y(z) = ig_x(z)$  and  $g_x(z) = g'(z)$ , while if  $\bar{h}$  is anti-analytic,  $\bar{h}_y(z) = -i\bar{h}_x(z)$ , so this becomes

$$p(z) = 2g_x(z) = 2g'(z).$$

To return to what we actually have, this suggests that we define  $g$  in terms of  $p$ :

$$g(z) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^z p(w) dw.$$

Now  $\bar{h}(z)$  is determined: define

$$\bar{h}(z) = f(z) - g(z).$$

Let's check that  $\bar{h}$  is anti-analytic:

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - i\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right) \bar{h}(z) &= \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - i\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right) f(z) - \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - i\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right) g(z) \\ &= p(z) - 2g'(z) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

We used twice the fact, which is easy to check, that a function is anti-analytic if and only if it has continuous first partial derivatives and satisfies the anti-Cauchy-Riemann equations:

$$\bar{h}_y(z) = -i\bar{h}_x(z).$$