

Q1 (a) $\text{tr}(A) = \sum_{i=1}^{i=n} A_{ii}$

so $\text{tr}(AB) = \sum_{i=1}^{i=n} \sum_{j=1}^{j=n} A_{ij} B_{ji}$

$= \sum_{j=1}^{j=n} \sum_{i=1}^{i=n} B_{ji} A_{ij}$

$= \text{tr}(BA)$

Matrices C and D are similar $\Leftrightarrow C = Q^{-1}DQ$ for some invertible matrix Q

so if C and D are similar then $\text{tr}(C) = \text{tr}(Q^{-1}DQ)$

$= \text{tr}(DQQ^{-1})$

$= \text{tr}(D)$

(b) Put $D(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & x_1 & \dots & x_1^{n-1} \\ 1 & x_2 & \dots & x_2^{n-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & x_n & \dots & x_n^{n-1} \end{vmatrix}$

If $x_i = x_j$ for any $i \neq j$ then the determinant will vanish (as two of the rows will become equal)

so D is divisible by $\prod_{i>j} (x_i - x_j)$

If we let each x_i have degree 1 then $D(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ has degree $0 + 1 + \dots + n-1 = \frac{1}{2}n(n-1)$

every entry in the first column has degree zero

every entry in the second col. has degree 1

every entry in the last column has degree $n-1$

and in expanding the determinant we take exactly one entry from each column

But the degree of $\prod_{i>j} (x_i - x_j)$ is also $\frac{1}{2}n(n-1)$ [it is the product of $\frac{1}{2}n(n-1)$ terms, each of which has

degree 1. So $D = C \prod_{i>j} (x_i - x_j)$

where C has degree zero, i.e. is a constant.

We need to show this constant is equal to 1.

Base case: $n=2$

$$\begin{aligned} D(x_1, x_2) &= \begin{vmatrix} 1 & x_1 \\ 1 & x_2 \end{vmatrix} = x_2 - x_1 \\ &= 1 \cdot (x_2 - x_1) \quad \text{so } C=1 \checkmark \end{aligned}$$

Induction step: We know that $D(x_1, \dots, x_n) = C \prod_{i>j} (x_i - x_j)$
and we are assuming that $D(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) = \prod_{\substack{i>j \\ i \leq n-1}} (x_i - x_j)$
We want to show that $C=1$.

Put ~~x_1~~ $x_1 = 0$. Then $D(0, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 1 & x_2 & \dots & x_2^{n-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & x_n & \dots & x_n^{n-1} \end{vmatrix}$

$$= \begin{vmatrix} x_2 & \dots & x_2^{n-1} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_n & \dots & x_n^{n-1} \end{vmatrix}$$

$$= x_2 x_3 \dots x_n \begin{vmatrix} x_2 & \dots & x_2^{n-1} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_n & \dots & x_n^{n-1} \end{vmatrix} = x_2 \dots x_n \prod_{\substack{i>j \\ i \leq n \\ j \geq 2}} (x_i - x_j)$$

by the induction hypothesis

On the other hand, putting $x_1 = 0$ turns

$$\begin{aligned} C \prod_{i>j} (x_i - x_j) &\text{ into } C(x_2 - 0) \dots (x_n - 0) \prod_{\substack{i>j \\ i \leq n \\ j \neq 1}} (x_i - x_j) \\ &= C x_2 \dots x_n \prod_{\substack{i>j \\ i \geq 2 \\ j \geq 2}} (x_i - x_j) \end{aligned}$$

So $C=1$. By induction on n we are done.

(c) First let's compute $T^*: P_1(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow P_2(\mathbb{R})$.

This will be easier if we find o.n. bases for $P_2(\mathbb{R})$ and $P_1(\mathbb{R})$ [these won't be the o.n. bases which we are looking for].

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now } \langle 1, 1 \rangle &= 2 & \langle 1, x \rangle &= 0 & \langle 1, x^2 \rangle &= 2/3 \\ \langle x, 1 \rangle &= 0 & \langle x, x \rangle &= 2/3 & \langle x, x^2 \rangle &= 0 \\ \langle x^2, 1 \rangle &= 2/3 & \langle x^2, x \rangle &= 0 & \langle x^2, x^2 \rangle &= 2/5 \end{aligned}$$

Applying Gram-Schmidt to the standard basis for $P_2(\mathbb{R})$ gives an o.n. basis $\tilde{\beta} = \{y_1, y_2, y_3\}$ for $P_2(\mathbb{R})$, where:

$$y_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$$

$$\begin{aligned} y_2 &= \frac{1}{\text{length}} \left(x - \underbrace{\langle x, y_1 \rangle}_{\text{zero}} y_1 \right) \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} x \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} y_3 &= \frac{1}{\text{length}} \left(x^2 - \langle x^2, y_2 \rangle y_2 - \langle x^2, y_1 \rangle y_1 \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\text{length}} \left(x^2 - \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \right) \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{45}}{\sqrt{38 - 20\sqrt{2}}} \left(x^2 - \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, an o.n. basis $\tilde{\alpha}$ for $P_1(\mathbb{R})$ is $\{z_1, z_2\}$

$$\text{where } z_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \quad z_2 = \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} x$$

$$\text{Now } [T]_{\tilde{\alpha}}^{\tilde{\beta}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \frac{2\sqrt{45}}{\sqrt{38 - 20\sqrt{2}}} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and so $[T^*]_{\tilde{\beta}}$ =
$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ \frac{2\sqrt{45}}{\sqrt{19-10\sqrt{2}}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Thus $[T^*T]_{\tilde{\beta}}$ =
$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ \frac{2\sqrt{45}}{\sqrt{19-10\sqrt{2}}} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \frac{2\sqrt{45}}{\sqrt{19-10\sqrt{2}}} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

=
$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{180}{19-10\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}$$

To construct the Singular Value Decomposition of T, we should pick an o.n. basis of eigenvectors for T^*T .

So $\tilde{\beta}$ will do! (the matrix of T^*T w.r.t. $\tilde{\beta}$ is already diagonal, so $\tilde{\beta}$ is a basis of eigenvectors, and $\tilde{\beta}$ is orthonormal by construction).

Actually, we should re-order so that the non-zero eigenvalue corresponds to the first eigenvector:

$$\beta = \{y_3, y_1, y_2\}$$

Now $T(y_3) = \frac{2\sqrt{45}}{\sqrt{38-20\sqrt{2}}} \cdot 1$

and we set $g_1 = \frac{T(y_3)}{\|T(y_3)\|} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$

Extending $\{g, \beta\}$ to an o.n. basis $\gamma = \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}x \right\}$
for $P_1(\mathbb{R})$ gives

$$[T]_{\beta}^{\gamma} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2\sqrt{45}}{\sqrt{19-10\sqrt{2}}} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

The rank of T is 1, and the non-zero singular value $\sigma_1 = \frac{2\sqrt{45}}{\sqrt{19-10\sqrt{2}}}$

[* Sorry about the horrible numbers: I made a calculational error when setting the question.]

- (d) The only one-dimensional T -invariant subspace of \mathbb{R}^3 is $\text{span}\{(1, 1, 1)\}$, so any eigenvector of T must be a scalar multiple of $(1, 1, 1)$. If T were self-adjoint, there would be an o.n. basis for \mathbb{R}^3 consisting of eigenvectors for T , so T is not self-adjoint. However, T is ~~the~~ length- and angle-preserving, so T is orthogonal.

#2

See class notes / textbook.

⑥

#3 (a)

We will find a basis $\beta = \{p_1(x), p_2(x)\}$ for $P_1(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\{f_1, f_2\}$ is the basis dual to β .

This proves (by Th^m 2.24) that $\{f_1, f_2\}$ is a basis for V^* .

$$\text{Let } p_1(x) = a + bx$$

$$p_2(x) = c + dx$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{We need: } f_1(p_1) &= 1 \Rightarrow a + b/2 = 1 \\ f_1(p_2) &= 0 \Rightarrow 2a + 2b = 0 \\ f_2(p_1) &= 0 \Rightarrow a + d/2 = 0 \\ f_2(p_2) &= 1 \Rightarrow 2c + 2d = 1 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{So } a = 2, b = -2, c = -1/2, d = 1$$

$$\text{and } \beta = \left\{ 2 - 2x, -\frac{1}{2} + x \right\}.$$

(b) Case I: V is finite-dimensional.

If $f = 0_{V^*}$ then $g = 0_{V^*}$ too and we can take $\alpha = \text{anything}$
 otherwise, $R(f) = \mathbb{C}$ so $\text{rank}(f) = 1$
 $\Rightarrow \text{nullity}(f) = n - 1$ where $n = \dim V$
 (Dimension Theorem)

Pick a basis $\{v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}\}$ for $N(f)$. Since
 $N(f) = N(g)$, this is also a basis for $N(g)$. Extend to
 a basis $\beta = \{v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}, v\}$ for V .
 Let $\beta^* = \{f_1, \dots, f_{n-1}, h\}$ be the basis for V^* dual to β .

Then $f = a_1 f_1 + \dots + a_{n-1} f_{n-1} + bh$

$\Rightarrow a_i = f(v_i) = 0$ as $v_i \in N(f)$

$\Rightarrow f = bh$ [$b \neq 0$ as $f \neq 0_V$]

Similarly $g = b'h$, so $f = \alpha g$ where $\alpha = b/b'$.
Note: $b' \neq 0$ either

Case II: V is finite-dimensional.

If $f = 0_{V^*}$ then we are done (same argument again).

Otherwise $\exists x \in V$ with $f(x) \neq 0$ and hence $g(x) \neq 0$.

Claim: $g(y) = \frac{g(x)}{f(x)} f(y) \quad \forall y \in V$

Proof: Suppose not. Then $\exists y' \in V$ with

$g(y') \neq \frac{g(x)}{f(x)} f(y')$

Consider $W = \text{span}\{x, y'\}$ and restrict f and g to give maps $f|_W \in W^*$ and $g|_W \in W^*$.

$f|_W(w) = 0 \Leftrightarrow g|_W(w) = 0$, so we can apply Case I to conclude that

$g|_W(w) = \alpha f|_W(w) \quad \forall w \in W$

for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$. Putting $w = x$ we see that $\alpha = \frac{g(x)}{f(x)}$.

But then $g|_W(y') \neq \alpha f|_W(y')$. ~~XXXXXXXXXX~~

This proves the Claim.

Taking $\alpha = g(x)/f(x)$ proves Case II. We are done. \square

#4 : (a)

The auxiliary polynomial is $x^2 - 2ax + a^2 + b^2$

which has roots $x = \frac{2a \pm \sqrt{4a^2 - 4(a^2 + b^2)}}{2} = a \pm ib$

(8)

Assume
 $b \neq 0$
throughout

By the Corollary to ~~Th^m~~ Th^m 2.33, we know that a basis for the space of solutions in $C^\infty(\mathbb{C})$ is

$$\{ \exp((a+ib)t), \exp((a-ib)t) \}$$

We seek real solutions (ie solutions $y \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ such that $y(t) \in \mathbb{R}$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$). We know that this will give us all the solutions in $C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ because $C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ is a subspace of $C^\infty(\mathbb{C})$ (where we regard $C^\infty(\mathbb{C})$ as a vector space over \mathbb{R} in the natural way). So we seek

(*) $y = (A+iB) \exp((a+ib)t) + (C+iD) \exp((a-ib)t)$
 $= e^{at} (A+iB) (\cos(bt) + i \sin(bt)) + e^{at} (C+iD) (\cos(bt) - i \sin(bt))$
such that $y(t)$ is real for all t . The imaginary part of (*) is

$$e^{at} (A \sin(bt) + B \cos(bt)) + D \cos(bt) - C \sin(bt)$$

For this to be real for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we need

$$(A-C) \sin bt + (B+D) \cos(bt) = 0 \quad \text{for all } t$$

$\Rightarrow A=C, B=-D$ as the set $\{ \cos bt, \sin bt \}$ is LI in $C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ [$b \neq 0$]

so

$$y = e^{at} (A \cos(bt) - B \sin(bt) + A \cos bt - B \sin bt)$$

$$= 2A e^{at} \cos(bt) - 2B e^{at} \sin(bt)$$

In other words $y \in \text{span} \{ e^{at} \cos bt, e^{at} \sin bt \}$

Since both $e^{at} \cos bt$ and $e^{at} \sin bt$ are in the solution space [take $A=C=\frac{1}{2}, B=D=0$ or $A=C=0, B=-\frac{1}{2}, D=\frac{1}{2}$]

we see that the solution space in $C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ is $\text{span} \{ e^{at} \cos(bt), e^{at} \sin(bt) \}$.

(b)

We know $y = A e^{-t} \cos 3t + B e^{-t} \sin(3t)$, and

that $y(0) = 0 \Rightarrow A = 0$

and $y'(0) = v \Rightarrow 3B = v$

so $y(t) = \frac{v}{3} e^{-t} \sin(3t)$.

As $t \rightarrow \infty$, $y(t) \rightarrow 0$ i.e. the amplitude of the oscillation decreases to zero with time.

#5 : Claim : Let δ be a basis for V such that (10)
 $[T]_{\delta}^{\delta}$ is in Jordan canonical form
 with $n_1(\lambda)$ blocks of size 1 with gen. e-value λ
 $n_2(\lambda)$ blocks of size 2 with gen. e-value λ
 \vdots
 $n_k(\lambda)$ blocks of size k with gen. e-value λ
 \vdots

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{nullity}(T - \lambda I) &= n_1 + \dots + n_k + \dots \\ \text{nullity}(T - \lambda I)^2 &= n_1 + 2n_2 + 2n_3 + \dots + 2n_k + \dots \\ \text{nullity}(T - \lambda I)^3 &= n_1 + 2n_2 + 3n_3 + \dots + 3n_k + \dots \\ &\vdots \end{aligned}$$

Proof :

$$\begin{aligned} \text{nullity}(T - \lambda I) &= \text{nullity}([T]_{\delta}^{\delta} - \lambda I) \\ &= \text{nullity} \left(\begin{array}{c|c|c} A_1 - \lambda I & \circ & \circ \\ \hline \circ & \ddots & \circ \\ \hline \circ & \circ & A_r - \lambda I \end{array} \right) \end{aligned}$$

where A_1, \dots, A_r are the Jordan blocks

$$= \text{nullity}(A_1 - \lambda I) + \dots + \text{nullity}(A_r - \lambda I)$$

But $\text{nullity}(A_j - \lambda I) = \text{nullity} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_j - \lambda & 1 & \dots & \circ \\ \circ & \lambda_j - \lambda & \dots & \circ \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \circ & \dots & \dots & \lambda_j - \lambda \end{pmatrix} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \lambda_j = \lambda \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$

so nullity $(T - \lambda I) = \#$ of blocks with generalized eigenvalue λ

$$= n_1(\lambda) + n_2(\lambda) + \dots + n_k(\lambda) + \dots$$

this looks like an infinite sum but in fact isn't, as there are no blocks of size bigger than $\text{mult}(\lambda)$, so $n_{k'}(\lambda) = 0$ for all $k' > \text{mult}(\lambda)$.

Similarly, $\text{nullity } (T - \lambda I)^2 = \text{nullity } (A_1 - \lambda I)^2 + \dots + \text{nullity } (A_r - \lambda I)^2$

and $\text{nullity } (A_j - \lambda I)^2 = 0$ if $\lambda_j \neq \lambda$

and $\text{nullity } (A_j - \lambda I)^2 = \text{nullity}$



[the diagonal entries of $(A_j - \lambda I)^2$ will be non-zero and it is upper-triangular]

$= 2$ if the block has size at least 2

and $\text{nullity } (A_j - \lambda I)^2 = \text{nullity } \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right)^2$

$\text{nullity } (0)^2 = 1$ if the block has size 1

so $\text{nullity } (T - \lambda I)^2 = 1 \cdot (\# \text{ of blocks of size } 1 \text{ for } \lambda) + 2 \cdot (\# \text{ of blocks of size } \geq 2 \text{ for } \lambda)$

$$= n_1(\lambda) + 2n_2(\lambda) + 2n_3(\lambda) + \dots + 2n_k(\lambda) + \dots$$

(12)

Repeating for $(T - \lambda I)^3, (T - \lambda I)^4, \dots$ etc.

proves the Claim. \square

$$\text{Thus } n_1(\lambda) = 2 \text{ nullity } (T - \lambda I) - \text{nullity } (T - \lambda I)^2$$


$$n_2(\lambda) = \frac{3}{2} \left(\text{nullity } (T - \lambda I)^2 - n_1(\lambda) \right)$$

$$- \left(\text{nullity } (T - \lambda I)^3 - n_1(\lambda) \right)$$

\vdots

and so the numbers $n_1(\lambda), n_2(\lambda), \dots$ can be uniquely determined from the numbers

nullity $(T - \lambda I), \text{nullity } (T - \lambda I)^2, \dots$

But these  do not depend on the choice of Jordan canonical basis S , in other words

$$[T]_{\beta}^{\beta} \quad \text{and} \quad [T]_{\gamma}^{\gamma}$$

have the same # of Jordan blocks of each size for each generalized eigenvalue λ . They

therefore differ only by a reordering of their Jordan blocks. (13)

(b) Let $\gamma = \{1, x, x^2, x^3\}$ be the standard basis for $P_3(\mathbb{R})$. Then

$$[T]_{\gamma}^{\gamma} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & 6 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

The only eigenvalue of T is 2 and

$$N(T - 2I) = \text{span} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

$$\begin{aligned} N((T - 2I)^2) &= N \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 6 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^2 \right) = N \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= P_3(\mathbb{R}) \end{aligned}$$

Pick $v \in \{N((T - 2I)^2)\}$, $v \notin N(T - 2I)$: say $v = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$

Then $\{(T - 2I)v, v\} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$ is a cycle of gen. eigenvectors.

Now try to pick $w \in N((T - 2I)^2)$, ~~$w \notin \text{span} \{(T - 2I)v, v\}$~~
 $w \notin \text{span} \left(\{(T - 2I)v, v\} \cup N(T - 2I) \right) = \text{span} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$.

Take, for example, $w = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Then $\{(T - 2I)w, w\} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$

is another cycle of gen. eigenvalues and

(14)

$$\beta = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 6 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\} \leftarrow \begin{array}{l} \text{co-ordinate} \\ \text{vectors [w.r.t. } \delta] \\ \text{for these} \\ \text{polynomials} \end{array}$$

is a basis for $P_3(\mathbb{R})$ with respect to which

$$[T]_{\beta}^{\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

(c) $\delta = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 1/6 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 200 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 100 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$

↕

$$\left\{ x, x^{1/6}, 200, 100x^2 \right\}$$

will do.

#6

(a) We need to show $(R + \lambda S)^*(x) = R^*(x) + \bar{\lambda} S^*(x)$ for all $x \in V$, so it is enough to show that

$$\langle (R + \lambda S)^*(x), y \rangle = \langle R^*(x) + \bar{\lambda} S^*(x), y \rangle$$

for all $x, y \in V$

But

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (R + \lambda S)^*(x), y \rangle &= \langle x, R(y) + \lambda S(y) \rangle \\ &= \langle x, R(y) \rangle + \bar{\lambda} \langle x, S(y) \rangle \\ &= \langle R^*(x), y \rangle + \bar{\lambda} \langle S^*(x), y \rangle \\ &= \langle R^*(x) + \bar{\lambda} S^*(x), y \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

for any $x, y \in V$.

(b)

$$\begin{aligned} \|T(x)\|^2 &= \langle T_x, T_x \rangle = \langle x, T^* T_x \rangle \\ &= \langle x, T T^* x \rangle \\ &= \langle T^* x, T^* x \rangle \\ &= \|T^* x\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

Since $\|T_x\|$ and $\|T^* x\|$ are both non-negative, we see that $\|T_x\| = \|T^* x\|$.

(c) It is enough to show (see the hint)

that $\|(T - \lambda I)(x)\| = 0 \iff \|(T^* - \bar{\lambda} I)(x)\| = 0$

But by (a) and (b), $\|(T - \lambda I)(x)\| = \|(T^* - \bar{\lambda} I)(x)\|$.

#6(d) We will prove this by induction on $n = \dim V$.

Base case : $n = 1$

This is trivial.

Induction step : Assume that for every $(n-1)$ -dim^y i.p. space \tilde{V} and every normal linear transformation $\tilde{T} : \tilde{V} \rightarrow \tilde{V}$, there is an o.n. basis for \tilde{V} consisting of eigenvectors for \tilde{T} .

Since we are working over \mathbb{C} , the char. poly. of T splits and so \exists an eigenvector $v \in V$ for T . Let $W = \text{span}\{v\}$.

W is T -invariant $\Rightarrow W^\perp$ is T^* -invariant (from class)
 W is also T^* -invariant, since v is an eigenvector for T^* (by (c)), so W^\perp is T^{**} -invariant $\Rightarrow W^\perp$ is T -invariant.

Let $\tilde{V} = W^\perp$ and $\tilde{T} = T|_{W^\perp}$.

\tilde{V} is an $(n-1)$ -dim^y inner product space, and

$$\tilde{T}^* = (\tilde{T}|_{W^\perp})^* = T^*|_{W^\perp} \quad \text{so } \tilde{T} \text{ is } \quad (17)$$

normal :

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{T} \tilde{T}^* &= T|_{W^\perp} T^*|_{W^\perp} \\ &= (TT^*)|_{W^\perp} \\ &= (T^*T)|_{W^\perp} \\ &= T^*|_{W^\perp} T|_{W^\perp} \\ &= \tilde{T}^* \tilde{T} \end{aligned}$$

By the ^{induction hypothesis}, \exists an o.n. basis for \tilde{V} consisting of eigenvectors for \tilde{T} , call it $\{v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}\}$. Then $\{v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}, v\}$ is an o.n. basis for V consisting of e'vectors for T .

By induction, we are done \square

(e) $V = \mathbb{C}^2$, $T(x, y) = (ix, 3iy)$.

This has ~~eigenvalues~~ ^{non-real eigenvalues}, so T cannot be self adjoint, but $T^*(x, y) = (-ix, -3iy)$

so $T^*T(x, y) = \begin{matrix} \cancel{(ix, 3iy)} \\ (x, 9y) \end{matrix} = TT^*(x, y)$

#7 (a) If T is nilpotent, say $T^k = 0$, and $Tx = \lambda x$ then $0 = T^k(x) = \lambda^k \underbrace{x}_{\neq 0}$

$\Rightarrow \lambda = 0$. So every eigenvalue of a nilpotent linear operator is zero.

Conversely, if every eigenvalue of T is zero then for a Jordan canonical basis β

$[T]_{\beta}^{\beta}$ is upper-triangular with zeroes on the diagonal. Thus $([T]_{\beta}^{\beta})^n = 0$, where $n = \dim V$, so $T^n = 0$.

(b) If $A = [T]_{\beta}^{\beta}$ and $B = [T]_{\gamma}^{\gamma}$ where β and γ are different ~~matrix~~ bases for V then $B = Q^{-1}AQ$ where $Q = [Id]_{\gamma}^{\beta}$. Thus, by Q1(a), $\text{tr}(B) = \text{tr}(A)$.

(c) Choose a basis β for V such that $[T]_{\beta}^{\beta}$ is upper-triangular (for example, β could be a Jordan

canonical basis).

Then

(19)

$$[T]_{\beta}^{\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & * & * & \dots & * \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & * & \dots & * \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ are the eigenvalues for T

(not necessarily distinct). So $\text{tr}(T) = \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_n$.

Also $([T]_{\beta}^{\beta})^2 = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1^2 & * & * & \dots & * \\ 0 & \lambda_2^2 & * & \dots & * \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots & \lambda_n^2 \end{pmatrix}$

so $\text{tr}(T^2) = \lambda_1^2 + \dots + \lambda_n^2$

\vdots

$\text{tr}(T^n) = \lambda_1^n + \dots + \lambda_n^n$

Thus if T is nilpotent, so $\lambda_1 = \dots = \lambda_n = 0$,

then $\text{tr}(T) = \text{tr}(T^2) = \dots = \text{tr}(T^n) = 0$.

Conversely, if $\text{tr}(T) = \dots = \text{tr}(T^n) = 0$

then we know that $\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_n = 0$

$\lambda_1^2 + \dots + \lambda_n^2 = 0$

\vdots

$\lambda_1^n + \dots + \lambda_n^n = 0$

and we need to show that $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \dots = \lambda_n = 0$ (20)

[as then T will be nilpotent by (a)].

This is true, but it is much harder to prove than I had thought (and certainly ~~was~~ far too hard to appear on the final).

Firstly, note that

$$\lambda_1 = \dots = \lambda_n = 0 \Leftrightarrow (x - \lambda_1) \dots (x - \lambda_n) = x^n$$

$$\Leftrightarrow x^n - (\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_n)x^{n-1} + (\lambda_1\lambda_2 + \lambda_1\lambda_3 + \dots)x^{n-2} + \dots + (\lambda_1\lambda_2 \dots \lambda_n) = x^n$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_n = 0 \\ \sum_{i < j} \lambda_i \lambda_j = 0 \\ \sum_{i < j < k} \lambda_i \lambda_j \lambda_k = 0 \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \dots \lambda_n = 0 \end{cases}$$

These are called THE ELEMENTARILY SYMMETRIC FUNCTIONS of $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$.

So it suffices to show that $\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_n = 0$

$$\sum_{i < j} \lambda_i \lambda_j = 0$$

\vdots

$$\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \dots \lambda_n = 0$$

Consider the generating function

$$\begin{aligned}
p(t) &= (\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_n) + (\lambda_1^2 + \dots + \lambda_n^2)t + \dots \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{i=n} \sum_{r \geq 1} \lambda_i^r t^{r-1} \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{i=n} \frac{\lambda_i}{1 - \lambda_i t}
\end{aligned}$$

Then if $h(t) = \prod_{i=1}^{i=n} \frac{1}{1 - \lambda_i t}$

then $\frac{d}{dt} (\log h(t)) = - \sum_{i=1}^{i=n} \frac{\lambda_i}{1 - \lambda_i t}$

and so $p(t) = - \frac{d}{dt} \log h(t)$

If $\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_n = 0$ and $\lambda_1^2 + \dots + \lambda_n^2 = 0$ and ...

then $p(t) = 0 \Rightarrow \log h(t) = \text{constant}$ (independent of t)
 $\Rightarrow h(t)$ is independent of t

But $h(0) = 1$ [regardless of the value of ~~the~~ the λ_i] and

so $h(t) = 1$.

Thus $\frac{1}{h(t)} = 1$ too, so $(1 - \lambda_1 t) \dots (1 - \lambda_n t) = 1$

$$\Rightarrow 1 - (\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_n)t + (\lambda_1 \lambda_2 + \lambda_1 \lambda_3 + \dots)t^2 - \dots = 1$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{aligned} &\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_n = 0 \\ &\sum_{i < j} \lambda_i \lambda_j = 0 \\ &\text{etc.} \end{aligned} \Rightarrow \lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \dots = \lambda_n = 0$$

It remains to show that if $\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_n = 0$ (22)
 and $\lambda_1^2 + \dots + \lambda_n^2 = 0$
 and \dots
 and $\lambda_1^n + \dots + \lambda_n^n = 0$

Then $\lambda_1^k + \lambda_2^k + \dots + \lambda_n^k = 0$ for $k = n+1, n+2, \dots$

But this \nearrow is $\text{tr}(T^k)$.

Cayley-Hamilton implies that

$$T^n = \alpha_0 I + \alpha_1 T + \dots + \alpha_{n-1} T^{n-1} \quad \text{for some } \alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{n-1} \in \mathbb{C}$$

$$\Rightarrow T^{n+1} = \alpha_0 T + \alpha_1 T^2 + \dots + \alpha_{n-1} T^n$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{tr}(T^{n+1}) = \alpha_0 \text{tr}(T) + \dots + \alpha_{n-1} \text{tr}(T^n) = 0$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Similarly } T^{n+2} &= \alpha_0 T^2 + \alpha_1 T^3 + \dots + \alpha_{n-1} T^{n+1} \\ &= \tilde{\alpha}_0 T + \tilde{\alpha}_1 T^2 + \dots + \tilde{\alpha}_{n-1} T^n \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{tr}(T^{n+2}) = 0 \quad \text{too}$$

etc.

$$\text{So if } \text{tr}(T) = \text{tr}(T^2) = \dots = \text{tr}(T^n) = 0$$

then all eigenvalues of T are zero, and hence T is nilpotent.