

## Review: Orthogonality relations for matrix elements of irreducible representations.

For any two functions on  $G$  we defined their scalar product by

$$(f_1, f_2) = (1/\#G) \sum_{a \in G} f_1(a) \overline{f_2(a)}.$$

Then

$$\text{If } r^1 \not\sim r^2, \text{ then } (r_{kl}^2, r_{ji}^1) = 0 \text{ for all } i, j, k \text{ and } l. \quad (3.3)$$

In other words, matrix entries from two inequivalent representations are orthogonal.

For a single irreducible  $r = r^1 = r^2$  we have

$$(r_{kl}^2, r_{ij}^1) = (1/n) \delta_{ki} \delta_{lj} \quad (3.4)$$

so that distinct matrix entries from the same irreducible unitary representation are orthogonal, and each matrix entry has length  $1/n^{1/2}$ .

# Characters

Let  $r$  be a representation of the group  $G$  on the vector space  $V$ . The dimension of  $V$  is called the *degree* of the representation,  $r$ . The *character* of the representation  $r$  is the function  $\chi^r$  defined on  $G$  by the formula

$$\chi^r(a) = \text{tr } r(a) = \sum_i r_{ii}(a). \quad (4.1)$$

If we take  $a = e$ , so that  $r(e)$  is the identity operator, whose trace is  $\dim V$ , we see that

$$\chi^r(e) = \dim V. \quad (4.2)$$

For any two linear transformations we have  $\text{tr } AB = \text{tr } BA$ ; so, if  $B$  is non-singular,  $\text{tr } BAB^{-1} = \text{tr } A$ . Thus,

$$\chi(bab^{-1}) = \chi(a) \quad (4.3)$$

if  $\chi$  is the character of any representation. In other words,  $\chi$  is a function which is constant on conjugacy classes. Such a function is called a *central* function.

For any representation  $r$ , we can introduce a Hermitian scalar product which is invariant under  $r(a)$  for all  $a \in G$ . This means that if we take adjoints with respect to this scalar product, we have  $r(a)^* = r(a^{-1})$ . But  $\text{tr } r(a)^*$  is the complex conjugate of  $\text{tr } r(a)$ , so

$$\chi(a^{-1}) = \overline{\chi(a)}. \quad (4.4)$$

# Orthogonality relations for characters

Let  $r^1$  and  $r^2$  be irreducible representations of  $G$ .

The character  $\chi^1$  of the representation  $r^1$  is given by

$$\chi^1 = \sum_i r_{ii}^1$$

and similarly for the character  $\chi^2$  of  $r^2$ . It now follows from (3.3) and (3.2) that

$$\text{if } r^1 \neq r^2, \text{ then } (\chi^1, \chi^2) = 0, \quad (4.6)$$

and

$$(\chi, \chi) = 1, \text{ if } \chi \text{ is the character of an irreducible representation.} \quad (4.7)$$

# Characters of direct sums.

Let  $r^1$  and  $r^2$  be representations of  $G$ . Then it follows from the matrix form of  $r^1 \oplus r^2$  that

$$\chi^{r^1 \oplus r^2} = \chi^{r^1} + \chi^{r^2}. \quad (4.5)$$

Now let  $r$  be a representation of  $G$  on a vector space,  $V$ , which is not necessarily irreducible, and let

$$r = r^1 \oplus \cdots \oplus r^k$$

be a decomposition of  $r$  into irreducible representations. Let  $\phi$  be the character of  $r$ , and let  $\chi_i$  be the character of  $r^i$ , so that

$$\phi = \chi_1 + \cdots + \chi_k.$$

Let  $s$  be some particular irreducible representation of  $G$  and let  $\chi$  be its character. Then

$$(\phi, \chi) = (\chi_1, \chi) + \cdots + (\chi_k, \chi).$$

The terms on the right are all zero or one, according as  $r^i \not\sim r$  or  $r^i \sim r$ . Thus,

$(\phi, \chi)$  is the number of terms in the decomposition of  $r$  which are isomorphic to  $s$ . In particular, this number does not depend on the particular choice of decomposition. (4.8)

From (4.8) it follows that *two representations with the same character are equivalent.*

$\chi$  is irreducible if and only if  $(\chi, \chi) = 1$

Proof: any character  $\phi$  can be written as

$$\phi = m_1 \chi_1 + \cdots + m_p \chi_p,$$

where the  $\chi_i$  are irreducible orthogonal characters. Hence

$$(\phi, \phi) = m_1^2 + \cdots + m_p^2 \tag{4.9}$$

We already know that if  $\chi$  is irreducible then  $(\chi, \chi) = 1$ . Conversely, If  $(\chi, \chi) = 1$ , then the only way that (4.9) could hold is for all the  $m_i$  but one = 0, and one of them = 1 which says that  $\chi$  is irreducible.

## $\dim \text{Hom}_G(W, V)$ when $V$ is irreducible

Let  $\phi$  be the character of a representation of  $G$  on a vector space  $W$ , and let  $\chi$  be the character of an irreducible representation of  $G$  on the vector space  $V$ . If we decompose

$$W = W_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus W_k$$

into irreducibles, we see that

$$\text{Hom}_G(W, V) = \text{Hom}_G(W_1, V) \oplus \cdots \oplus \text{Hom}_G(W_k, V).$$

By Schur's lemma, each of these spaces is either one dimensional or zero dimensional according to whether the representation of  $G$  on  $W_i$  is or is not equivalent to the representation of  $G$  on  $V$ . Combining this with (4.8) we see that

$$(\phi, \chi) = \dim \text{Hom}_G(W, V). \tag{4.10}$$

$\dim \text{Hom}_G(U, V)$  in general.

Now let  $r_u$  and  $r_v$  be representations of  $G$  on  $U$  and  $V$ . We do not assume that  $r_u$  and  $r_v$  are irreducible. We wish to compute  $\dim \text{Hom}_G(U, V)$ .

Let us first consider a special case. Suppose  $U = V = W \oplus W$ , where  $W$  is irreducible. We can write any vector in  $U$  as  $(\mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2)$ , where  $\mathbf{w}_1$  and  $\mathbf{w}_2$  are in  $W$ . Thus, for any  $T \in \text{Hom}(V, V)$  we have

$$T(\mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2) = (T_{11}\mathbf{w}_1 + T_{12}\mathbf{w}_2, T_{21}\mathbf{w}_1 + T_{22}\mathbf{w}_2)$$

where  $T_{ij} \in \text{Hom}(W, W)$ . So

$$\begin{aligned} T \circ r_{W \oplus W}(a)(\mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2) &= T(r_W(a)\mathbf{w}_1, r_W(a)\mathbf{w}_2) \\ &= (T_{11}r_W(a)\mathbf{w}_1 + T_{12}r_W(a)\mathbf{w}_2, T_{21}r_W(a)\mathbf{w}_1 + T_{22}r_W(a)\mathbf{w}_2) \end{aligned}$$

while

$$\begin{aligned} r_{W \oplus W}(a)T(\mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2) &= (r_W(a)(T_{11}\mathbf{w}_1 + T_{12}\mathbf{w}_2), r_W(a)(T_{21}\mathbf{w}_1 + T_{22}\mathbf{w}_2)) \\ &= (r_W(a)T_{11}\mathbf{w}_1 + r_W(a)T_{12}\mathbf{w}_2, r_W(a)T_{21}\mathbf{w}_1 + r_W(a)T_{22}\mathbf{w}_2). \end{aligned}$$

So  $T \in \text{Hom}_G(V, V)$  if and only if each  $T_{ij} \in \text{Hom}_G(W, W)$ . By Schur's lemma, each  $T_{ij}$  ranges over a one-dimensional space, hence  $\dim \text{Hom}_G(W \oplus W, W \oplus W) = 4 = 2 \times 2$ .

## $\dim \text{Hom}_G(U, V)$ in general, continued

For any representation, we may make the decomposition

$$U = (U_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus U_{p_1}) \oplus (U_{p_1+1} \oplus \cdots \oplus U_{p_1+p_2}) \oplus \cdots (\cdots U_{p_1+\cdots+p_k})$$

where the first  $p_1$  spaces are all equivalent to the irreducible representation  $W_1$ , the next  $p_2$  spaces are all equivalent to the irreducible representation  $W_2$  etc., and  $W_1, \dots, W_k$  are *inequivalent* irreducible representations of  $G$ . We may make the same decomposition

$$V = (V_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_{q_1}) \oplus (V_{q_1+1} \oplus \cdots \oplus V_{q_1+q_2}) \oplus \cdots$$

for  $V$ . By Schur's lemma, any  $T \in \text{Hom}_G(U, V)$  when applied to any  $\mathbf{u} \in U_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus U_{p_1}$  must give  $T\mathbf{u}$  lying in  $V_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_{q_1}$ . Then the same argument as in the special case shows that

$$\dim \text{Hom}_G(U, V) = p_1 q_1 + p_2 q_2 + \cdots + p_k q_k. \quad (4.11)$$

In particular, if  $U = V$ ,

$$\dim \text{Hom}_G(V, V) = p_1^2 + \cdots + p_k^2,$$

where  $p_i$  is the number of times that the  $i$ th irreducible representation occurs in  $V$ .



# The representation on function spaces induced from an action.

Suppose that we are given an action of the group  $G$  on the set  $M$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}(M)$  denote the vector space of all complex-valued functions on  $M$ . Define an action of  $G$  on  $\mathcal{F}(M)$  by

$$(af)(x) = f(a^{-1}x).$$

Put another way, we define  $af$  by

$$af = f \circ a^{-1},$$

We have

$$a(bf) = (bf) \circ a^{-1} = (f \circ b^{-1}) \circ a^{-1} = f \circ (b^{-1} \circ a^{-1}) = f \circ (ab)^{-1} = (ab)f.$$

So we get a representation of  $G$  on  $\mathcal{F}(M)$

Let us denote this representation by  $r^M$ .

# The character fixed point formula.

Introduce the following basis of  $\mathcal{F}(M)$  :

Let  $\delta_x$  be the function on  $M$  defined by

$$\delta_x(y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } y = x \\ 0 & \text{if } y \neq x \end{cases}$$

Then

$$(a\delta_x)(y) = \delta_x(a^{-1}y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } a^{-1}y = x, \text{ i.e. } y = ax \\ 0 & \text{if } a^{-1}y \neq x, \text{ i.e. } y \neq ax \end{cases}$$

so that

$$a\delta_x = \delta_{ax}.$$

The functions  $\delta_x$  are clearly independent and they span  $\mathcal{F}(M)$  since any function,  $f$ , can be written as  $f = \sum_{x \in M} f(x)\delta_x$ . For this basis, the diagonal elements of  $r^M(a)$  will be one or zero according as  $ax = x$  or  $ax \neq x$ . Thus

$$\chi^{r^M}(a) = \sum_{ax=x} 1 = \#(\text{fixed points of } a) \quad (5.1)$$

# Orbits and direct sums

Suppose that  $M$  decomposes into orbits under the action of  $G$ :

$$M = M_1 \cup \dots \cup M_k.$$

Then we have a corresponding decomposition

$$r^M = r^{M_1} \oplus \dots \oplus r^{M_k}.$$

Indeed, we can identify  $r^{M_i}$  with the subrepresentation of  $r^M$  given by the action of  $G$  on functions which vanish outside of  $M_i$ . Any function on  $M$  can be written uniquely as

$$f = f_1 + \dots + f_k, \text{ where each } f_j \text{ vanishes outside of } M_i.$$

# Morphisms between function spaces.

Suppose that  $G$  acts on the two finite sets  $M$  and  $N$ . We wish to study the space  $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{F}(M), \mathcal{F}(N))$  and the action of  $G$  on it. Notice that

$$\begin{aligned}\dim \text{Hom}(\mathcal{F}(M), \mathcal{F}(N)) &= \dim \mathcal{F}(M) \times \dim \mathcal{F}(N) \\ &= (\#M) \cdot (\#N) \\ &= \#(N \times M) \\ &= \dim \mathcal{F}(N \times M).\end{aligned}$$

We claim that there is a natural isomorphism between  $\mathcal{F}(N \times M)$  and  $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{F}(M), \mathcal{F}(N))$ . Indeed, given any function  $K$  on  $N \times M$  define the operator  $T_K: \mathcal{F}(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(N)$  by

$$(T_K f)(y) = \sum_{x \in M} K(y, x) f(x).$$

The map sending  $K$  into  $T_K$  is one-to-one; indeed, for any  $u \in M$

$$(T_K \delta_u)(y) = K(y, u)$$

so if  $T_K = 0$ , then  $K(y, u) = 0$  for all  $y$  and  $u$ , i.e.  $K = 0$ . Since  $\mathcal{F}(N \times M)$  and  $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{F}(M), \mathcal{F}(N))$  have the same dimension, we conclude that the map sending  $K$  to  $T_K$  is an isomorphism of vector spaces.

## Morphisms between function spaces, continued.

We claim that there is a natural isomorphism between  $\mathcal{F}(N \times M)$  and  $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{F}(M), \mathcal{F}(N))$ . Indeed, given any function  $K$  on  $N \times M$  define the operator  $T_K: \mathcal{F}(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(N)$  by

$$(T_K f)(y) = \sum_{x \in M} K(y, x) f(x).$$

The map sending  $K$  into  $T_K$  is one-to-one; indeed, for any  $u \in M$

$$(T_K \delta_u)(y) = K(y, u)$$

so if  $T_K = 0$ , then  $K(y, u) = 0$  for all  $y$  and  $u$ , i.e.  $K = 0$ . Since  $\mathcal{F}(N \times M)$  and  $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{F}(M), \mathcal{F}(N))$  have the same dimension, we conclude that the map sending  $K$  to  $T_K$  is an isomorphism of vector spaces.

The group  $G$  acts on both  $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{F}(M), \mathcal{F}(N))$  and on  $\mathcal{F}(N \times M)$ . We claim that the isomorphism just described is a  $G$  morphism, i.e. that the representations of  $G$  on these two spaces are equivalent. Indeed, letting  $r^{\text{Hom}}$  denote the representation of  $G$  on  $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{F}(M), \mathcal{F}(N))$ , we have

$$r^{\text{Hom}}(a) T_K = r^N(a) T_K r^M(a)^{-1}.$$

## Morphisms between function spaces, continued.

$$r^{\text{Hom}(a)} T_K = r^N(a) T_K r^M(a)^{-1}.$$

Now

$$r^M(a)^{-1} f(x) = f(ax)$$

so

$$(T_K r^M(a)^{-1} f)(y) = \sum K(y, x) f(ax)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (r^{\text{Hom}(a)} T_K f)(y) &= \sum K(a^{-1} y, x) f(ax) \\ &= \sum K(a^{-1} y, a^{-1} x) f(x). \end{aligned}$$

But

$$(r^{N \times M}(a) K)(y, x) = K(a^{-1} y, a^{-1} x)$$

So

$$r^{\text{Hom}(a)} T_K = T_{r^{N \times M}(a) K}$$

which was to be proved.

## Morphisms between function spaces, continued.

We have proved that  $r^{\text{Hom}}(a)T_K = r^N(a)T_K r^M(a)^{-1}$  and we have

$$(r^{N \times M}(a)K)(y, x) = K(a^{-1}y, a^{-1}x)$$

Now  $\text{Hom}_G(\mathcal{F}(M), \mathcal{F}(N))$  is the space of elements in  $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{F}(M), \mathcal{F}(N))$  which satisfy

$$r^{\text{Hom}}(a)T = T$$

for all  $a \in G$ . If  $T = T_K$ , the preceding equation shows that

$$K(a^{-1}y, a^{-1}x) = K(y, x)$$

for all  $a \in G$ . In other words, the function  $K$  must be constant on orbits of  $G$  on  $N \times M$ . Thus

$$\dim \text{Hom}_G(\mathcal{F}(M), \mathcal{F}(N)) = \# \text{ of } G \text{ orbits on } N \times M. \quad (5.2)$$

In particular, on taking  $M = N$  we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \text{Hom}_G(\mathcal{F}(M), \mathcal{F}(M)) &= \# \text{ of } G \text{ orbits on } M \times M \\ &= p_1^2 + \cdots + p_k^2 \end{aligned} \quad (5.3)$$

where  $p_i$  is the number of times that the  $i$ th irreducible representation of  $G$  occurs in  $\mathcal{F}(M)$ .

# Example: $S_n$ acting on $\{1, \dots, n\}$ .

Consider the group  $S_n$  acting on the  $n$ -element set  $M = \{1, \dots, n\}$ . On  $M \times M$  there are two orbits

$$\{(x, y) | x \neq y\} \quad \text{and} \quad \{(x, x)\}.$$

Indeed, if  $x \neq y$  and  $z \neq w$  we can find a permutation  $\sigma$  such that  $\sigma(x) = z$  and  $\sigma(y) = w$ . Thus, the set  $\{(x, y) | x \neq y\}$  is a single orbit in  $M \times M$ . Similarly the set  $\{(x, x)\}$  is a single orbit. Thus,

$$\dim \text{Hom}_G(\mathcal{F}(M), \mathcal{F}(M)) = 2 = p_1^2 + \dots + p_k^2$$

so  $k = 2$  and  $p_1 = p_2 = 1$ . Thus,  $\mathcal{F}(M)$  is the direct sum of two irreducible representations. We already know one of them – the trivial one-dimensional representation, corresponding to the constant functions. The other must then be  $n - 1$  dimensional. Thus

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}(M) = & V_1 & + & V_2 \\ & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ & \text{one} & & n-1 \\ & \text{dimensional} & & \text{dimensional} \end{array}$$



# The regular representation.

We apply the results of the preceding section to the special case where  $M = G$  and  $G$  acts on itself by left multiplication. The corresponding representation,  $r^G$ , of  $G$  on  $\mathcal{F}(G)$  is called the *regular* representation. It is defined by  $[r^G(a)f](b) = f(a^{-1}b)$ . We have

$$\#G = \dim \mathcal{F}(G) = \sum p_i n_i$$

where  $p_i$  is the number of times that the  $i$ th irreducible representation occurs in  $\mathcal{F}(G)$ , while  $n_i$  is the dimension of the  $i$ th irreducible representation. Also

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \operatorname{Hom}_G(\mathcal{F}(G), \mathcal{F}(G)) &= \sum p_i^2 \\ &= \# \text{ of } G \text{ orbits on } G \times G. \end{aligned}$$

We compute the number of orbits as follows: we can always act on  $(a, b)$  by  $a^{-1}$  to get  $(e, a^{-1}b)$ . Thus, each orbit of  $G$  in  $G \times G$  contains a point of the form  $(e, c)$ . But this is the only element of this form in its orbit, since multiplying by  $d$  sends  $(e, c)$  into  $(d, dc)$ . Thus each orbit contains a unique representative of the form  $(e, c)$ , and hence the number of orbits is equal to  $\#G$ . Thus

$$\#G = \sum p_i^2.$$

Since  $\sum p_i^2 = \sum p_i n_i$  we are led to guess that  $p_i = n_i$ , i.e. that each irreducible representation,  $W$ , occurs in  $\mathcal{F}(G)$  with a multiplicity equal to its dimension, i.e. that

$$\dim \operatorname{Hom}_G(W, \mathcal{F}(G)) = \dim W. \tag{6.1}$$

# The regular representation, continued.

We wish to prove that  $\dim \text{Hom}_G(W, \mathcal{F}(G)) = \dim W$ . (6.1)

We shall prove this fact by constructing an isomorphism between  $W^*$ , the dual space of  $W$ , and  $\text{Hom}_G(W, \mathcal{F}(G))$ . To each  $l \in W^*$  and to each  $w \in W$  we assign the function  $f_w^l$  on  $G$  defined by

$$f_w^l(a) = \langle r(a^{-1})w, l \rangle.$$

Here  $r(a^{-1})w \in W$  and  $l \in W^*$ , and  $\langle v, l \rangle$  denotes the value of the linear function  $l \in W^*$  at the element  $v$  of  $V$ . For fixed  $l$  the map sending  $w$  into  $f_w^l$  is a map from  $W$  to  $\mathcal{F}(G)$ . Thus each  $l \in W^*$  defines an element of  $\text{Hom}(W, \mathcal{F}(G))$ . We must show that this element lies in  $\text{Hom}_G(W, \mathcal{F}(G))$ , i.e. that

$$f_{r(b)w}^l = r^G(b)f_w^l$$

or that

$$f_{r(b)w}^l(a) = f_w^l(b^{-1}a) \quad \text{for all } a, b \in G.$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} f_{r(b)w}^l(a) &= \langle r(a)^{-1}r(b)w, l \rangle \\ &= \langle r(a^{-1}b)w, l \rangle \\ &= \langle r(b^{-1}a)^{-1}w, l \rangle \\ &= f_w^l(b^{-1}a) \end{aligned}$$

as required.

# The regular representation, continued

Conclusion of the proof that

$$\dim \text{Hom}_G(W, \mathcal{F}(G)) = \dim W. \quad (6.1)$$

We defined the  $G$  morphism from  $W^*$  to  $\mathcal{F}(G)$  by

$$f_w^l(a) = \langle r(a^{-1})\mathbf{w}, l \rangle.$$

Furthermore,  $f_w^l(e) = \langle \mathbf{w}, l \rangle$  cannot be zero for all  $\mathbf{w}$  unless  $l = 0$ . Thus the map of  $W^*$  into  $\text{Hom}_G(W, \mathcal{F}(G))$  that we have defined is injective. It follows that

$$p_i = \dim \text{Hom}_G(W, \mathcal{F}(G)) \geq \dim W^* = n_i.$$

But it now follows from the two equations

$$\#G = \sum p_i n_i = \sum p_i^2$$

that we must have  $p_i = n_i$  so (6.1) holds. Thus

$$\#G = \sum n_i^2. \quad (6.2)$$

Equations (6.1) and (6.2) have the following useful corollary. Suppose that we have found inequivalent irreducible representations  $(r_1, W_1) \cdots (r_k, W_k)$  of  $G$ , with  $\dim W_i = n_i$ , such that  $\sum_i n_i^2 = \#G$ . Then it follows from (6.2) that there can be no other

Irreducible representation, i.e. ones not equivalent to the ones we have on our list.