

**Solutions: Problem Set 4**

1. Note that if we can show that  $(F, d_{\frac{1}{3}})$  has Hausdorff dimension 1, then it will follow that  $(F, d_{\frac{1}{2}})$  has Hausdorff dimension  $\frac{\log 3}{\log 2}$ . This is because

$$(d_{\frac{1}{2}}(x, y))^{\frac{\log 3}{\log 2}} = d_{\frac{1}{3}}(x, y).$$

Thus we need only prove that  $(F, d_{\frac{1}{3}})$  has Hausdorff dimension 1.

This proof is entirely similar to the argument in the lecture notes for the computation of the Hausdorff dimension of the Cantor set. Let  $\ell([\alpha]) = \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^{|\alpha|}$ . Here  $[\alpha]$  is, as in the notes, the set of all sequences that have  $\alpha$  as their initial segment. The value  $\frac{1}{3}$  is special here in the same way that the value  $\frac{1}{2}$  was special for the Cantor set. Indeed, if  $k = |\alpha|$ , we have

$$\ell([\alpha]) = \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^k = \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^{k+1} + \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^{k+1} + \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^{k+1} = \ell([\alpha L]) + \ell([\alpha U]) + \ell([\alpha R]).$$

Thus every  $[\alpha]$  can be written as the disjoint union of sets in  $C_i \in C_\epsilon$  with  $\ell([\alpha]) = \sum_i \ell(C_i)$ . So, as on page 8 in the lecture notes, we see that the type I and type II outer measures constructed from  $\ell$  are equal, and so we can denote them both by  $m^*$ . We also see that  $m^*([\alpha]) = \ell([\alpha])$ . The remainder of the proof follows as on page 11 of the lecture notes (with 3's simply replacing 2's).

2. Let  $S$  denote the set of pairs  $(x, y)$  such that  $x$  and  $y$  have binary expansions

$$\begin{aligned} x &= 0.x_1x_2x_3\dots \\ y &= 0.y_1y_2y_3\dots, \end{aligned}$$

with the property that  $x_i$  and  $y_i$  are never both equal to 1 for the same value of  $i$ . The maps  $T_L$ ,  $T_U$ , and  $T_R$  take  $(x, y)$  to the three points

$$(0.0x_1x_2x_3\dots, 0.0y_1y_2y_3\dots), \quad (0.0x_1x_2x_3\dots, 0.1y_1y_2y_3\dots), \quad \text{and} \quad (0.1x_1x_2x_3\dots, 0.0y_1y_2y_3\dots),$$

and so the image of the point  $(x, y)$  under the union of these maps is in  $S$ .

We need also to see that the union of these maps takes  $S$  onto  $S$ . Notice that the point  $(x', y')$  must be in  $S$ , where  $x' = 0.x_2x_3x_4\dots$  and  $y' = 0.y_2y_3y_4\dots$ . The two digits  $x_1$  and  $y_1$  can not both be 1, and so one of the three maps  $T_L$ ,  $T_U$ , and  $T_R$  will take the point  $(x', y')$  to the point  $(x, y)$ . Thus the union of these three maps takes  $S$  onto  $S$ .

Therefore  $S$  is a fixed point of the union of these three maps, and hence the unique fixed point.

3. This problem was misstated. The correct inequality to prove is

$$\|h(s) - h(t)\| \leq \sqrt{2}d_{\frac{1}{2}}(s, t).$$

In order to prove this, let  $s$  and  $t$  be two sequences of letters (with letters from the three letter alphabet  $\{L, R, U\}$ ). We have  $d_{\frac{1}{2}}(s, t) = \frac{1}{2^n}$ , where  $n$  is the number of digits in the initial segment on which  $s$  and  $t$  agree (possibly  $n = 0$ ). The points  $h(s)$  and  $h(t)$  are made up of two coordinates whose binary expansions must agree to the first  $n$  digits, and so the difference between the first coordinates of these two points can not be greater than  $\frac{1}{2^n}$ , and similarly for the difference of the second coordinates. Thus the Euclidean distance between these two points in the plane is bounded by

$$\|h(s) - h(t)\| \leq \sqrt{\left(\frac{1}{2^n}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{1}{2^n}\right)^2} = \sqrt{2}\frac{1}{2^n} = \sqrt{2}d_{\frac{1}{2}}(s, t).$$

4. For any  $A \subseteq F$ , we have, from the inequality of problem 3, that

$$(\text{diam}(h(A)))^s \leq 2^{\frac{s}{2}}(\text{diam}(A))^s,$$

where the metric used on the left is the Euclidean metric in the plane and that on the right is the  $d_{\frac{1}{2}}$  metric on  $F$ . From this inequality and the definition of Hausdorff measure, we see that

$$\mathcal{H}_s^*(S) \leq 2^{\frac{s}{2}} \mathcal{H}_s^*(F),$$

where the measure on the left is the outer measure on  $S$  and that on the right is the one on  $F$ .

From problem 1, we know that the Hausdorff dimension of  $F$  is  $\frac{\log 3}{\log 2}$ , and so from the inequality of the foregoing paragraph, we see that

$$\mathcal{H}_t^*(S) \leq 2^{\frac{s}{2}} \mathcal{H}_t^*(F) < \infty$$

for  $t > \frac{\log 3}{\log 2}$ . Using Theorem 3 in the lecture notes, we see that this means that the Hausdorff dimension of  $S$  can not be greater than  $\frac{\log 3}{\log 2}$ .

**5.** As in the hint, let  $f(s) = \sum_{i=1}^n r_i^s$ . Then  $f(0) = n$  and  $f(\infty) = 0$ , since  $0 < r_i < 1$ . We also have

$$f'(s) = \sum_{i=1}^n (\log r_i) r_i^s < 0,$$

for  $s > 0$ , since  $0 < r_i < 1$ . Thus the continuous function  $f(s)$  decreases from  $n \geq 1$  to 0 as  $s$  goes from 0 to  $\infty$ , and so there is a positive value of  $s$  for which  $f(s) = 1$ .

**6.** For the Cantor set, note that  $s = \log 2 / \log 3$  satisfies

$$\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^s + \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^s = 1,$$

and for the Sierpinski gasket, note that  $s = \log 3 / \log 2$  satisfies

$$\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^s + \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^s + \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^s = 1.$$

Thus these are the respective similarity dimensions of the Cantor set and the Sierpinski gasket.