

Perron Formula Without Error Estimates

The Perron formula for Dirichlet series plays the same rôle as the Cauchy formula for power series. Consider the Dirichlet series

$$f(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n^s}.$$

Let σ_0 be its abscissa of convergence and $\bar{\sigma}$ be its abscissa of absolute convergence.

Perron Formula. If x is not an integer and c is a positive number and $\sigma > \sigma_0 - c$, then

$$\sum_{n < x} \frac{a_n}{n^s} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} f(s+w) \frac{x^w}{w} dw.$$

Remark. The domain of convergence of a power series is a disk whereas the domain of convergence of a Dirichlet series is a left half-plane. So the integration in the Cauchy formula for a power series is a circle (which is the boundary of a disk) whereas the integration in the Perron formula is a vertical line (which is the boundary of a half-plane). There is a difference. The Perron formula gives a partial sum of the Dirichlet series instead of the whole series. It corresponds to the following truncated form of the Cauchy formula.

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^m a_n (z-c)^n &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|w-c|=r} f(w) \left(\sum_{n=1}^m \frac{(z-c)^n}{(w-c)^{n+1}} \right) dw \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|w-c|=r} f(w) \frac{1}{w-c} \frac{1 - \left(\frac{z-c}{w-c}\right)^{m+1}}{1 - \frac{z-c}{w-c}} dw \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|w-c|=r} f(w) \frac{1 - \left(\frac{z-c}{w-c}\right)^{m+1}}{w-z} dw. \end{aligned}$$

The usual Cauchy formula corresponds to the case $m = \infty$.

Lemma.

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \left(\frac{x}{n}\right)^w \frac{dw}{w}$$

is equal to 1 if $n < x$ and is equal to 0 if $n > x$.

Proof of Lemma. Let $a = \frac{x}{n}$. The identity can be rewritten as follows.

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \frac{a^z}{z} dz$$

is equal to 1 if $a > 1$ and is equal to 0 if $0 < a < 1$. We verify this in the two cases separately.

Assume first $a > 1$. Then $\log a > 0$ and we do the integration from $c - iR$ to $c + iR$ and then the left circle C_R whose diameter is on $[c - iR, c + iR]$. The integral along C_R goes to zero as $R \rightarrow \infty$ for the following reason. Given any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists some $A = A_\varepsilon$ such that the integral over $C_R \cap \{\operatorname{Re} z < -A\}$ is less than ε , because $|a^z| < e^{-A \log a}$ on $\{\operatorname{Re} z < -A\}$ and, for fixed A , the expression $|\frac{1}{z}| \rightarrow 0$ on $C_R \cap \{\operatorname{Re} z < -A\}$ as $R \rightarrow \infty$ while the integral of $|a^z dz|$ on $C_R \cap \{\operatorname{Re} z < -A\}$ remains bounded as $R \rightarrow \infty$. Finally the pole of $\frac{a^z}{z}$ at $z = 0$ contributes the residue 1. Thus the integral we seek is 1.

Assume now $a < 1$. Then $\log a < 0$ and we use the right half-circle whose diameter is on $[c - iR, c + iR]$. In this case there is no pole inside the contour and the integral we seek is 0. Q.E.D.

Remark. This lemma corresponds to

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|z|=r} z^n dz$$

equal to 1 if $n = -1$ and equal to 0 if $n \neq -1$, in the case of power series and is used to pick out one coefficient in a power series.

Proof of the Perron Formula. Suppose first $\sigma > \bar{\sigma} - c$. Absolute and uniform convergence gives

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-iU}^{c+iT} f(s+w) \frac{x^w}{w} dw &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-iU}^{c+iT} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n^{s+w}} \frac{x^w}{w} dw \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n^s} \int_{c-iU}^{c+iT} \left(\frac{x}{n}\right)^w \frac{dw}{w}. \end{aligned}$$

By the Lemma, we need only show that

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n^s} \int_{c+iT}^{c+i\infty} \left(\frac{x}{n}\right)^w \frac{dw}{w} = 0$$

and

$$\lim_{U \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n^s} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c-iU} \left(\frac{x}{n}\right)^w \frac{dw}{w} = 0.$$

For fixed x , integration by parts gives

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{c+iT}^{c+i\infty} \left(\frac{x}{n}\right)^w \frac{dw}{w} &= - \left(\frac{x}{n}\right)^{c+iT} \frac{1}{(\log \frac{x}{n})(c+iT)} + \frac{1}{\log \frac{x}{n}} \int_{c+iT}^{c+i\infty} \left(\frac{x}{n}\right)^w \frac{dw}{w^2} \\ &= O\left(\frac{1}{n^{cT}}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{n^c} \int_T^{\infty} \frac{dv}{c^2+v^2}\right) = O\left(\frac{1}{n^{cT}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n^s} \int_{c+iT}^{c+i\infty} \left(\frac{x}{n}\right)^w \frac{dw}{w} = O\left(\frac{1}{T} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|a_n|}{n^{\sigma+c}}\right)$$

and likewise

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n^s} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c-iU} \left(\frac{x}{n}\right)^w \frac{dw}{w} = O\left(\frac{1}{U} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|a_n|}{n^{\sigma+c}}\right).$$

This finishes the proof of the case $\sigma > \bar{\sigma} - c$.

For the case of $\sigma_0 - c < \sigma \leq \bar{\sigma} - c$ we use the growth rate of the Dirichlet series on the vertical strip bounded by the abscissa of convergence and the abscissa of absolute convergence. Take $\alpha > \bar{\sigma} - c$ and use the contour integration of

$$f(s+w) \left(\frac{x}{n}\right)^w \frac{dw}{w}$$

over the rectangle

$$\{c \leq \operatorname{Re} w \leq \alpha, -U \leq \operatorname{Im} w \leq T\}.$$

By

$$f(s) = O(t^{-(\sigma+c-\sigma_0)+\varepsilon}),$$

the integrals over the two horizontal line-segments approach 0 as $U, T \rightarrow \infty$.

Hence

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} f(s+w) \frac{x^w}{w} dw = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\alpha-i\infty}^{\alpha+i\infty} f(s+w) \frac{x^w}{w} dw$$

and we are reduced to the previous case of $\sigma > \bar{\sigma} - \alpha$. This finishes the proof of the Perron formula. The case of $s = 0$ gives us the following.

Special Case of Perron Formula.

$$\sum_{n < x} a_n = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} f(s+w) \frac{x^w}{w} dw \quad \text{for } c > \sigma_0.$$