

GROUP COHOMOLOGY LECTURE 3

1. Tensor Product. Let R be a ring, A a right, and B a left R -module. Then $A \otimes_R B$ is defined. One description is as the quotient of $A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} B$ by the relations $ar \otimes b = a \otimes rb$ for all $(a, b, r) \in A \times B \times R$.

2. Tor. For fixed A , the functors $B \mapsto \text{Tor}_r^R(A, B)$ are the left derived functors of the right exact functor $B \mapsto A \otimes_R B = \text{Tor}_0^R(A, B)$. They can be computed from a resolution X_{\star} of A

$$X_{\star} = \cdots \rightarrow X_2 \rightarrow X_1 \rightarrow X_0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$$

by projective right R -modules X_r , as

$$\text{Tor}_r^R(A, B) = \mathbb{H}_r(X_{\star} \otimes_R B).$$

3. Group homology. For a group G and left G -module B , one puts

$$\mathcal{H}_r(G, B) = \text{Tor}_r^{\mathbb{Z}[G]}(\mathbb{Z}, B).$$

We can compute these “homology groups of G with coefficients in B ” by the ‘standard resolution’ in which X_r is the free \mathbb{Z} -module with basis elements $\{\sigma_0, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_r\} \in G^{r+1}$, with G acting on the right by the rule $\{\dots, \sigma_k, \dots\}\tau = \{\dots, \sigma_k\tau, \dots\}$, and the usual boundary maps $\partial : X_r \rightarrow X_{r-1}$. Clearly, X_r is a free right $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ -module with basis elements

$$[\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_r] := \{\sigma_1\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_r, \sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_r, \dots, \sigma_{r-1}\sigma_r, \sigma_r, 1\}$$

We call elements of $X_r \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[G]} B$ “standard r -chains of G with coefficients in B ”. They can be written uniquely in the form

$$\sum_{(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_r) \in G^r} [\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_r] \otimes b_{\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_r},$$

where the $b_{\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_r}$ are elements of B , all but a finite number of them 0. We write for simplicity $[\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_r]b$ instead of $[\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_r] \otimes b$. The boundary maps are

$$\partial[b] = 0$$

$$\partial[\sigma]b = [\sigma]b - [\sigma]b$$

$$\partial[\sigma, \tau]b = [\sigma]\tau b - [\sigma\tau]b + [\tau]b$$

$$\partial[\rho, \sigma, \tau]b = [\rho, \sigma]\tau b - [\rho, \sigma\tau]b + [\rho\sigma, \tau]b - [\sigma, \tau]b,$$

etc.

4. Low dimensions. We have $\mathcal{H}_0(B, G) = \mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[G]} B = B_G$ for short. Just as $B^G = \text{Hom}_G(\mathbb{Z}, B)$ is the largest submodule of B on which G acts trivially, so $B_G = \mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[G]} B$ is the largest quotient module of B on which G acts trivially. We have $B_G = B/I_G B$, where I_G is the “augmentation ideal” in $\mathbb{Z}[G]$, kernel of the homomorphism $\mathbb{Z}[G] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ which maps each σ to 1. This kernel is a free \mathbb{Z} -module with basis $\{\sigma - 1\}_{\sigma \in G, \sigma \neq 1}$. If G is generated by elements σ_i , then I_G is the ideal in $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ generated by the elements $\sigma_i - 1$.

The formulas above for ∂ show that $\mathcal{H}_1(G, \mathbb{Z})$ is the quotient of the free \mathbb{Z} -module with basis $\{[\sigma]\}_{\sigma \in G}$ by the relations $[\sigma] - [\sigma\tau] + [\tau] = 0$, so we have $\mathcal{H}_1(G, \mathbb{Z}) = G^{\text{ab}} = G/G^c$. More generally, if G acts trivially on B , then $\mathcal{H}_1(G, B) = G^{\text{ab}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} B$, in analogy with $\mathcal{H}^1(G, B) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(G^{\text{ab}}, B)$.

5. Characterization by properties. The functors $\mathcal{H}_r(G, B)$ for fixed G can be characterized up to unique isomorphism in the analogous way as the $\mathcal{H}^r(G, B)$. In dimension 0 replace A^G by A_G . Require the connecting homomorphisms to lower dimension by 1 instead of raising it by 1, and require $H_r(G, \mathbb{Z}[G] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} C) = 0$ instead of $H^r(G, \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}[G], C)) = 0$. Dimension shift with the surjection $\mathbb{Z}[G] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} B \rightarrow B$ instead of with the injection $B \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}[G], B)$.

6. Corestriction, deflation. The homology groups $\mathcal{H}^r(G, B)$ vary covariantly with both G and B . Thus, for $H \subset G$, there are “corestriction” maps $\text{cores} : \mathcal{H}_r(H, B) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_r(G, B)$ defined on standard chains by $[\dots, h_i, \dots]b \mapsto [\dots, h_i, \dots]b$, i.e., by viewing an H -chain with coefficients in a G -module B as a G -chain. For example, $\mathcal{H}_1(H, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_1(G, \mathbb{Z})$ is the homomorphism $H^{\text{ab}} \rightarrow G^{\text{ab}}$ induced by the inclusion map $H \hookrightarrow G$.

Similarly, if H is normal in G , then we have “deflation” maps $\text{defl} : \mathcal{H}_r(G, B) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_r(G/H, B_H)$ obtained by mapping a chain of G in B to the chain of G/H in B_H by replacing the σ 's by their cosets σH and viewing the coefficients b mod $I_H B$.

7. G finite, $\mathcal{H}_r = \mathcal{H}^{-1-r}$ if $r > 0$! From now on, assume G is finite. Then we can sum over G and have the operator $N = N_G = \sum_{\sigma \in G} \sigma \in \mathbb{Z}[G]$. For any left G -module A the map $N : A \rightarrow A$ induces a map $N^* : A_G \rightarrow A^G$, that is, $N^* : \mathcal{H}_0(G, A) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^0(G, A)$. Define modified cohomology groups $\hat{\mathcal{H}}^r$ for all $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ as follows:

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}^r(G, A) = \mathcal{H}^r(G, A), \quad \text{if } r > 0$$

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}^0(G, A) = A^G / N A = \text{Coker } N^*$$

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}^{-1}(G, A) = A_{N=1} / I A = \text{Ker } N^*$$

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}^{-1-r}(G, A) = \mathcal{H}_r(G, A), \quad \text{if } r > 0.$$

For example, $\hat{\mathcal{H}}^{-2}(G, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathcal{H}_1(G, \mathbb{Z}) = G^{\text{ab}}$

For fixed finite G , the functors $A \mapsto \hat{\mathcal{H}}^r(G, A)$ for $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ are characterized up to unique homomorphism by:

$$(1) \hat{\mathcal{H}}^0(G, A) = A^G / N_G A.$$

(2) If $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ is exact, then there are connecting homomorphisms $\delta : \hat{\mathcal{H}}^r(G, C) \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{H}}^{r+1}$, which are functorial in the exact sequence, and give an infinite exact sequence extending the usual one for the cohomology groups \mathcal{H}^r for $r > 0$ down to $-\infty$.

(3) $\hat{\mathcal{H}}^r(G, Y) = 0$ for all $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ if $Y = \mathbb{Z}[G] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} C \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}[G], C)$, the last arrow being given by $\sum_{\sigma} \sigma \otimes c_{\sigma} \mapsto (\sigma \mapsto c_{\sigma})$. This is bijective when G is finite, the inverse arrow being $f \mapsto \sum \sigma \otimes f(\sigma)$.

Thus one can dimension shift both up and down with the $\hat{\mathcal{H}}^r$ to prove that these properties do characterize the $\hat{\mathcal{H}}^r$.

To compute the $\hat{\mathcal{H}}^r(G, A)$ one can use an infinite standard cochain complex $C^*(G, A)$ defined by $C^r(G, A)$ being the usual r -cochains $f_r : G^r \rightarrow A$ for $r \geq 0$ and $C^{-1-r}(G, A) = C_r(G, A) = X_r \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[G]} A$ for $r \geq 0$. The coboundary maps $\delta : C^r \rightarrow C^{r+1}$ are the usual ones for $r \geq 0$, and are the boundary maps $\partial : C_r \rightarrow C_{r-1}$, that is, $C^{-1-r} \rightarrow C^{-r}$, for $r > 0$. The new one is the map $\partial a \mapsto N_G a$ from $C^{-1} = C_0$ to $C^0 = A$ which connects the chain complex to the cochain complex.

8. Cores and res in all dimensions. The restriction maps $\mathcal{H}^r(G, A) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^r(H, A)$ extend uniquely to all $\hat{\mathcal{H}}^r$ in such a way that they commute with connecting homomorphisms for short exact sequences of G -modules. In terms of standard cochains they are given in negative dimensions by a sum over right cosets $C = H\sigma$. For each such C , let $\bar{C} \in C$ be a chosen representative, so that $C = H\bar{C}$. Note that $N_G = \sum_C N_H \bar{C}$. Here and in the following all sums are over the cosets C of H in G . Here are the cochain restriction maps in negative dimensions:

$$\text{res} \partial a = \sum \partial \bar{C} a$$

$$\text{res}[\sigma] a = \sum [\bar{C} \sigma \bar{C} \sigma^{-1}] \bar{C} \sigma a$$

$$\text{res}[\sigma, \tau] a = \sum [\bar{C} \sigma \bar{C} \sigma^{-1}, \bar{C} \sigma \tau \bar{C} \sigma \tau^{-1}] \bar{C} \sigma \tau a,$$

etc. To prove that these formulas do the job one has only to check that they commute with ∂ , that is, $\partial_H \text{res} x_r = \text{res} \partial_G x_r$ for r -chains x and every $r \geq 0$. To find such formulas one starts with $r = 0$, knowing the res map on $(\partial \partial a)() = N_{G/H} a$ and guesses the formula for res in dimension 0 which will give the commutativity with ∂ . Then continue: Knowing the formula in dimension r , one guesses the one in dimension $r + 1$, and soon a pattern appears.

Notice that $\hat{\mathcal{H}}^{-2}(G, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathcal{H}_{-1}(G, \mathbb{Z}) = G^{\text{ab}}$ and that the second formula above shows that the restriction map is the transfer or Verlagerung map $G^{\text{ab}} \rightarrow H^{\text{ab}}$ of the homework. As the formulas show, all that is needed to have a restriction map from G to H in group homology is that the index $(G : H)$ be finite.

In that case there is a corestriction map in cohomology mapping $\mathcal{H}^r(H, A)$ to $\mathcal{H}^r(G, A)$ which extends the corestriction of homology when G is finite. The explicit formulas for it are sums over left cosets $C = \bar{C}H$. They are the unique maps which commute with connecting homomorphisms

and are $a \mapsto \sum \overline{C}a$ from A^H to A^G in dimension 0. They are like the Norm from the fixed field of H to the fixed field of G in the Galois situation, just as restriction is like the inclusion of the latter field in the former. The formula

$$\text{cores} \circ \text{res} = (G : H)$$

holds in all dimensions. In terms of standard cochains, a formula for the corestriction in dimensions > 0 is

$$(\text{cores } f_r)(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_r) = \sum_C \overline{\tau_1 C} f_r(\overline{\tau_1 C}^{-1} \sigma_1 \overline{\tau_2 C}, \overline{\tau_2 C}^{-1} \sigma_2 \overline{\tau_3 C}, \dots, \overline{\tau_r C}^{-1} \sigma_r \overline{C}),$$

where $\tau_i = \sigma_i \sigma_{i+1} \cdots \sigma_r$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$, so $\sigma_i \tau_{i+1} = \tau_i$ and the elements $\overline{\tau_i C}^{-1} \sigma_i \overline{\tau_{i+1} C}$ are in H .

9. Semilocal theory. For simplicity, we assume G is finite and we deal with the groups $\hat{\mathcal{H}}^r$. (There are separate theories for \mathcal{H}^r and \mathcal{H}_r when G is infinite, using coinduced and induced modules, respectively, in the two cases.) So let H be a subgroup of a finite group G and let $C = \overline{C}H$ be right cosets so that the C 's are permuted by $C \mapsto \sigma C$. A G -module A is 'semilocal for H ' or 'induced from H ' if there is an H -submodule $B \subset A$ such that A is the direct sum of the subgroups $\overline{C}B$. Then A is determined up to isomorphism by G, H , and B , so the groups $\hat{\mathcal{H}}^r(G, A)$ should be determined by the groups $\hat{\mathcal{H}}^r(H, B)$. In fact, they are equal. More precisely, let p be the projection of A onto B which is identity on B and kills the $\overline{C}B$ for $C \neq H$. Then p is an H -homomorphism and the theorem is that the composed map

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}^r(G, A) \xrightarrow{\text{res}} \hat{\mathcal{H}}^r(H, A) \xrightarrow{p} \hat{\mathcal{H}}^r(H, B)$$

is a bijection for all $r \in \mathbb{Z}$, the inverse map being the composed map

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}^r(H, B) \xrightarrow{\text{incl.}} \hat{\mathcal{H}}^r(H, A) \xrightarrow{\text{cores}} \hat{\mathcal{H}}^r(G, A).$$

10. Cup products in all dimensions! A bilinear map $A \times B \rightarrow C$ of G -modules which is G -bilinear in the sense that $\sigma a \cdot \sigma b = \sigma(a \cdot b)$ induces cup products

$$\mathcal{H}^r(G, A) \times \mathcal{H}^s(G, B) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{r+s}(G, C)$$

for $r, s \geq 0$. These are characterized by the fact that in dimension 0 the pairing $A^G \times B^G \rightarrow C^G$ is induced by the original pairing and by the fact that they commute with connecting homomorphisms when a short exact sequence is paired with a module to another short exact sequence. In terms of standard cochains they are induced by the cochain product

$$(f_r \cup g_s)(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{r+s}) := f_r(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_r) \cdot \sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_r g_s(\sigma_{r+1}, \dots, \sigma_{r+s})$$

which obeys the rule

$$\delta(f_r \cup g_s) = \delta f_r \cup g_s + (-1)^r f_r \cup \delta g_s.$$

In case G is finite these products extend to pairings $\hat{\mathcal{H}}^r \times \hat{\mathcal{H}}^s \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{H}}^{r+s}$ for all r, s , positive or negative. The standard cochain formulas extend too. For example for $r \geq 0$ and $s < 0$ we have, putting $t = -1 - s \geq 0$,

$$f_r \cup [\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_t]b = [\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{t-r}](f_r(\sigma_{t-r+1}, \dots, \sigma_t) \cdot \sigma_{t-r+1} \cdots \sigma_t b), \quad \text{for } r \leq t,$$

and for $r > t$,

$$(f_r \cup [\tau_1, \dots, \tau_t]b)(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{r-t-1}) = \sum_{\rho \in G} f_r(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{r-t-1}, \rho, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_t) \cdot \sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_{r-t-1} \rho \tau_1 \cdots \tau_t b.$$

For example, with $r = 2$ and $s = -2$, we have

$$(f_2 \cup [\tau]b)(\sigma) = \sum_{\rho \in G} f_2(\rho, \tau) \cdot \rho \tau b$$

So the Nakayama map of the homework, and the pairing

$$\mathcal{H}^2(G, A) \times G^{\text{ab}} \rightarrow A^G / N_G A$$

it induces, come from the cup product

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}^2(G, A) \times \hat{\mathcal{H}}^{-2}(G, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{H}}^0(G, A)$$

induced by the obvious pairing $A \times \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow A$.

The cup product is associative and skew commutative ($f_r \cup g_s = (-1)^{rs} g_s \cup f_r$). The cup product makes $\hat{\mathcal{H}}^*(G, \mathbb{Z})$ into a \mathbb{Z} -graded ring for which $\hat{\mathcal{H}}^*(G, A)$ is a graded two-sided $\hat{\mathcal{H}}^*(G, \mathbb{Z})$ -module for every A .

10. Finite cyclic G . Suppose $G = \{1, \tau, \dots, \tau^{n-1}\}$ is cyclic of order n . I claim then that $\hat{\mathcal{H}}^*(G, \mathbb{Z}) = (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})[x, x^{-1}]$ is the ring of Laurent polynomials with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$, generated by the class x of the cocycle $\delta\bar{\chi}$ where $\bar{\chi} : G \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ is a the 1-cochain $\bar{\chi}(\tau^i) = i/n$, for $0 \leq i < n$ representing the homomorphism $\chi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ such that $\chi(\tau^i) = i/n \pmod{\mathbb{Z}}$. A trivial calculation shows that $(\delta\bar{\chi} \cup \tau)(\sigma) = n\bar{\chi}(\tau) = 1$. Hence the class $\tau \in \hat{\mathcal{H}}^{-2}(G, \mathbb{Z})$ is the inverse to x in the ring $\hat{\mathcal{H}}^*(G, \mathbb{Z})$. It follows that, for every A and r , the map $\hat{\mathcal{H}}^r(G, A) \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{H}}^{r+2}(G, A)$ obtained by cupping with x is an isomorphism. For cyclic finite G , the cohomology is periodic with period 2. (But notice that the isomorphisms showing the periodicity do depend on a choice of generator τ of G .) For even r , $\hat{\mathcal{H}}^r(G, A) = \hat{\mathcal{H}}^0(G, A) = \text{Ker}(\tau - 1) / \text{Im}(N_G)$, where the group ring elements $\tau - 1$ and $N_G = 1 + \tau + \tau^2 + \cdots + \tau^{n-1}$ are interpreted as maps $A \rightarrow A$, and for odd r , $\hat{\mathcal{H}}^r(G, A) = \hat{\mathcal{H}}^{-1}(G, A) = \text{Ker}(N_G) / \text{Im}(\tau - 1)$, since $\tau - 1$ generates the ideal I_G . Since even for non-cyclic G we have $\hat{\mathcal{H}}^0(G, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ and $\hat{\mathcal{H}}^{-1}(G, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$, the ring $\hat{\mathcal{H}}^*(G, \mathbb{Z})$ is indeed the ring of Laurent polynomials as claimed.

11. Herbrand quotient. See **GROUP COHOMOLOGY HOMEWORK 2**.