

MATH 23a, FALL 2002  
 THEORETICAL LINEAR ALGEBRA  
 AND MULTIVARIABLE CALCULUS  
 Lecture # 30, supplement 2

Alternating  $n$ -Linear Forms Applied to Linearly Independent Vectors

**Theorem:** Let  $V$  be a vector space over  $F$  of dimension  $n$ . Let  $f : V^n \rightarrow F$  be a non-zero alternating multilinear form. If  $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n\}$  is a collection of linearly independent vectors in  $V$ , then  $f(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n) \neq 0$ .

**Remark:** It is important to note that this theorem is true only for alternating  $n$ -linear forms when  $\dim(V) = n$ . See the homework exercise (HW # 7.4) which shows that this statement is false for an alternating  $k$ -linear form when  $k < n$ .

**Proof:** For simplicity of notation but without any loss of generality, we give the proof for  $n = 3$ . Let  $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_3\}$  be the three linearly independent vectors.

Let  $\mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2, \mathbf{w}_3 \in V$  be any three vectors. Since the  $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_3\}$  form a basis, we may write each of the  $\mathbf{w}_i$  as a linear combination of the  $\mathbf{v}_i$ :

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{w}_1 &= \alpha_{11}\mathbf{v}_1 + \alpha_{21}\mathbf{v}_2 + \alpha_{31}\mathbf{v}_3 \\ \mathbf{w}_2 &= \alpha_{12}\mathbf{v}_1 + \alpha_{22}\mathbf{v}_2 + \alpha_{32}\mathbf{v}_3 \\ \mathbf{w}_3 &= \alpha_{13}\mathbf{v}_1 + \alpha_{23}\mathbf{v}_2 + \alpha_{33}\mathbf{v}_3\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}f(\mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2, \mathbf{w}_3) &= f(\alpha_{11}\mathbf{v}_1 + \alpha_{21}\mathbf{v}_2 + \alpha_{31}\mathbf{v}_3, \alpha_{12}\mathbf{v}_1 + \alpha_{22}\mathbf{v}_2 + \alpha_{32}\mathbf{v}_3, \alpha_{13}\mathbf{v}_1 + \alpha_{23}\mathbf{v}_2 + \alpha_{33}\mathbf{v}_3) \\ &= \alpha_{11}f(\mathbf{v}_1, \alpha_{12}\mathbf{v}_1 + \alpha_{22}\mathbf{v}_2 + \alpha_{32}\mathbf{v}_3, \alpha_{13}\mathbf{v}_1 + \alpha_{23}\mathbf{v}_2 + \alpha_{33}\mathbf{v}_3) \\ &\quad + \alpha_{21}f(\mathbf{v}_2, \alpha_{12}\mathbf{v}_1 + \alpha_{22}\mathbf{v}_2 + \alpha_{32}\mathbf{v}_3, \alpha_{13}\mathbf{v}_1 + \alpha_{23}\mathbf{v}_2 + \alpha_{33}\mathbf{v}_3) \\ &\quad + \alpha_{31}f(\mathbf{v}_3, \alpha_{12}\mathbf{v}_1 + \alpha_{22}\mathbf{v}_2 + \alpha_{32}\mathbf{v}_3, \alpha_{13}\mathbf{v}_1 + \alpha_{23}\mathbf{v}_2 + \alpha_{33}\mathbf{v}_3) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^3 \alpha_{i1} \cdot f(\mathbf{v}_i, \alpha_{12}\mathbf{v}_1 + \alpha_{22}\mathbf{v}_2 + \alpha_{32}\mathbf{v}_3, \alpha_{13}\mathbf{v}_1 + \alpha_{23}\mathbf{v}_2 + \alpha_{33}\mathbf{v}_3) \\ &\quad \text{after expanding by multilinearity in the first component} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^3 \alpha_{i1}\alpha_{j2} \cdot f(\mathbf{v}_i, \mathbf{v}_j, \alpha_{13}\mathbf{v}_1 + \alpha_{23}\mathbf{v}_2 + \alpha_{33}\mathbf{v}_3) \\ &\quad \text{after expanding by multilinearity in the second component} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^3 \sum_{k=1}^3 \alpha_{i1}\alpha_{j2}\alpha_{k3} \cdot f(\mathbf{v}_i, \mathbf{v}_j, \mathbf{v}_k) \\ &\quad \text{after expanding by multilinearity in the third component}\end{aligned}$$

Now we use the assumption that  $f$  is alternating to observe that any term in the sum with two or more of the  $\mathbf{v}$ 's being equal must be zero. In fact, we can reduce this sum to one where  $i \neq j$ ,  $i \neq k$ , and  $j \neq k$ , in other words, where  $(i, j, k)$  is a permutation of  $(1, 2, 3)$ .

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} f(\mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2, \mathbf{w}_3) &= \sum_{\pi \in S_3} \alpha_{\pi(1)1} \alpha_{\pi(2)2} \alpha_{\pi(3)3} \cdot f(\mathbf{v}_{\pi(1)}, \mathbf{v}_{\pi(2)}, \mathbf{v}_{\pi(3)}) \\ &= \sum_{\pi \in S_3} \operatorname{sgn}(\pi) \alpha_{\pi(1)1} \alpha_{\pi(2)2} \alpha_{\pi(3)3} \cdot f(\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_3) \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we argue by contradiction. Note that this last expression depends on the particular set of linearly independent vectors  $\mathbf{v}$  given in the statement of the theorem. Suppose  $f(\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_3) = 0$ . Then the argument presented above shows that  $f(\mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2, \mathbf{w}_3) = 0$  for *any* vectors in  $V$  since the  $\mathbf{w}$ 's were specified only in terms of the coefficients  $\alpha$ . But this implies that  $f$  was identically zero, that is, the 0 multilinear form, which contradicts our assumptions.

The proof above was given in the  $n = 3$  case. Note that there were  $27 = 3^3$  terms in the longest expansion, but that only  $6 = 3!$  of these were non-zero. In general, there would be  $n^n$  terms, only  $n!$  of which are non-zero. We hope that this simpler version of the proof clarifies the general one.