
Solution for HW4, part D

Geoff Anderson

(email me if something doesn't make sense)

granders@fas.harvard.edu

Problem 7

Let $A : U \rightarrow V$ and $B : V \rightarrow W$ be linear maps between finite dimensional vector spaces.

(a) Show that if A and B are both surjective, then so is $B \circ A$.

(b) Show that if B is one-to-one, then $\text{Ker}(B \circ A) = \text{Ker}(A)$.

(c) Assuming A and B are surjective, show that $\dim(\text{Ker}(B \circ A)) = \dim(\text{Ker}(A)) + \dim(\text{Ker}(B))$.

Part a

Here is one proof. First a bit of notation. Let $M : S \rightarrow T$ be some map (not necessarily linear). If $S' \subset S$, define $M(S') = \{M(s) | s \in S'\}$. So this is just notation for the image of a set under a map. In particular, $\text{im}(M) = M(S)$. Notice that M is surjective iff $M(S) = T$. Let's use this notation to do the proof:

We need to show $(B \circ A)(U) = W$. You can check that $(B \circ A)(U)$ is just $B(A(U))$. Surjectivity of A implies $A(U) = V$, so $B(A(U)) = B(V) = W$, since B is surjective as well.

This proof does not depend on the fact that A and B are linear. It is a general fact of maps that the composition of surjective maps is again surjective.

Part b

A bit more notation: let $M : S \rightarrow T$. If $T' \subset T$, define $M^{-1}(T') = \{s \in S | M(s) \in T'\}$. Or, if T' consists of a single element, t , we write $M^{-1}(t) = \{s \in S | M(s) = t\}$. Keep in mind that this does *not* assume that M is invertible. This is a way of naming the set of elements that map to a particular set. Notice that in the case of a linear map, $\text{Ker}(M) = M^{-1}(0)$. Ok, so now the proof:

let's do things a bit more generally so that we can see what's going on. I claim $\text{Ker}(B \circ A) = A^{-1}(\text{Ker}(B))$. That is, $\text{Ker}(B \circ A)$ is the set of things that map via A to the kernel of B . We can check this: $a \in \text{Ker}(B \circ A) \Leftrightarrow B(A(a)) = 0 \Leftrightarrow A(a) \in \text{Ker}(B) \Leftrightarrow a \in A^{-1}(\text{Ker}(B))$ establishes the equality of the two sets. In this problem, we have that B is injective, i.e. $\text{Ker}(B) = \{0\}$. Hence $\text{Ker}(B \circ A) = A^{-1}(0) = \text{Ker}(A)$.

Part c

Time for some use of rank-nullity. A few people mistakenly thought that injectivity of

B carried over from the previous problem, but Prof. Boller wanted the proof in the more general setting. Onward!

Surjectivity of A and B imply surjectivity of $B \circ A$, by part a, so we have $im(A) = V$, $im(B) = W$ and $im(B \circ A) = W$. Using these facts, along with rank-nullity, we get:

$$dim(Ker(A)) = dim(U) - dim(V),$$

$$dim(Ker(B)) = dim(V) - dim(W) \text{ and}$$

$$dim(Ker(B \circ A)) = dim(U) - dim(W). \text{ The result of the problem follows directly.}$$

Another angle of attack would be to construct a basis. The basic idea is this: let (v_1, \dots, v_n) be a basis for $Ker(B)$. Since A is surjective, we can take preimages (u_1, \dots, u_n) such that $A(u_i) = v_i$, $i = 1 \dots n$. Now let $(u_{n+1}, \dots, u_{n+m})$ be a basis for $Ker(A)$. Then (u_1, \dots, u_{n+m}) is a basis for $Ker(B \circ A)$. You can check this is a basis in the usual way, by checking span and linear independence.