

# Math 23a Solution: Problem B

David Jackson-Hanen

November 18, 2004

We proceed by induction on  $m$  in the problem statement. The case  $m = 1$  is obvious, since eigenvectors are non-zero and a single non-zero vector is linearly independent. Now assume the theorem holds for any collection of  $m$  eigenvectors with distinct eigenvalues, and let  $\{v_1 \dots v_{m+1}\}$  be eigenvectors of  $A$  with distinct eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{m+1}$ . Assume

$$(*) \quad a_1 v_1 + \dots + a_{m+1} v_{m+1} = 0.$$

Applying  $A$  to  $(*)$  and using linearity gives

$$a_1 \lambda_1 v_1 + \dots + a_{m+1} \lambda_{m+1} v_{m+1} = 0$$

while multiplying  $(*)$  by  $\lambda_1$  gives

$$a_1 \lambda_1 v_1 + \dots + a_{m+1} \lambda_1 v_{m+1} = 0.$$

Subtracting these equations gives

$$a_2(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1) + \dots + a_{m+1}(\lambda_{m+1} - \lambda_1)v_{m+1} = 0.$$

By our inductive hypothesis  $\{v_2 \dots v_{m+1}\}$  is linearly independent, so all the coefficients above must be zero. But  $\lambda_i - \lambda_1 \neq 0$  for  $2 \leq i \leq m+1$ , since the eigenvalues are distinct, and so  $a_i = 0$ . Going back to  $(*)$  gives  $a_1 v_1 = 0$ , or  $a_1 = 0$  as well. Thus  $\{v_1 \dots v_{m+1}\}$  is linearly independent, and this completes the induction.