

MATH 23A, P-SET 4 Question #1

W is a vector space. U & V are subspaces.

$$U+V = \{u+v \in W \mid u \in U, v \in V\}$$

(a) We must check that $U+V$ is

- non-empty. But $0 \in U, 0 \in V \Rightarrow 0+0 = 0 \in U+V$

- closed under addition. So for $u_1+v_1, u_2+v_2 \in U+V$

$$(u_1+v_1) + (u_2+v_2) = \underbrace{(u_1+u_2)}_{\in U} + \underbrace{(v_1+v_2)}_{\in V} \in U+V$$

- closed under scalar mult.

for $u+v \in U+V$ $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$

$$\lambda(u+v) = \underbrace{(\lambda u)}_{\in U} + \underbrace{(\lambda v)}_{\in V} \in U+V$$

So $U+V$ is a subspace.

(b) Let T be an arbitrary subspace containing UV . T is closed under addition, so

$\forall u \in U, \forall v \in V \quad u+v \in T$. But these are precisely the elements of $U+V \Rightarrow U+V \subset T$.

Now we ~~show~~ note that for $u \in U \quad u = u + \underset{\in U}{0} \in U+V$

and for $v \in V \quad v = \underset{\in U}{0} + v \in U+V$

$\Rightarrow U \subset U+V \quad \& \quad V \subset U+V \Rightarrow UV \subset U+V$

So $U+V$ contains UV and any subspace containing UV contains $U+V$ implies that $U+V$ is the smallest subspace containing UV (we know that $U+V$ is a subspace from (a)).

(C) Remember from the midterm that $U \cap V$ is a subspace (unless $U \cap V = \{0\}$).

First let's consider the case $U \cap V = \{0\}$

If $\dim(U) = n$, $\dim(V) = m$, we can take bases $\{u_1, \dots, u_n\}$ & $\{v_1, \dots, v_m\}$ respectively.

Note that $\{u_1, \dots, u_n, v_1, \dots, v_m\}$ certainly spans $U \cup V$, thus it must span $U + V$ by (b). And this set must be linearly independent, otherwise \exists a linear combination $\alpha_i \lambda_i \neq 0 \forall i$.

$$\lambda_1 u_1 + \dots + \lambda_n u_n = -\alpha_1 v_1 - \dots - \alpha_m v_m$$

$\in U$ $\in V$

$$\Rightarrow U \cap V = \{0\}$$

So this set is a basis $\Rightarrow \dim(U + V) = n + m = \dim U + \dim V - \dim(U \cap V)$

Now consider $U \cap V \neq \{0\}$

Then $U \cap V$ is a ~~sp~~ subspace. So take $\{a_1, \dots, a_k\}$ a basis (where $k = \dim(U \cap V)$)

This set is linearly independent in both U & $V \Rightarrow$ it can be extended to bases $\{a_1, \dots, a_k, u_1, \dots, u_{n-k}\}$ and $\{a_1, \dots, a_k, v_1, \dots, v_{m-k}\}$ of U & V respectively.

I claim that $\{a_1, \dots, a_k, u_1, \dots, u_{n-k}, v_1, \dots, v_{m-k}\}$ is a basis for $U+V$.

This set contains bases for U & V so it certainly spans $U \cup V$, thus it spans $U+V$. And if the set was linearly dependent, then $\exists \lambda_i, \alpha_j, \beta_k \neq 0$ such that

$$\lambda_1 a_1 + \dots + \lambda_k a_k + \alpha_1 u_1 + \dots + \alpha_{n-k} u_{n-k} = -\beta_1 v_1 - \dots - \beta_{m-k} v_{m-k}$$

$\in U$ $\in V = U \cap V$

But then this implies that $-\beta_1 v_1 - \dots - \beta_{m-k} v_{m-k} \in U$ & is in V

$$\Rightarrow -\beta_1 v_1 - \dots - \beta_{m-k} v_{m-k} \in U \cap V$$

This contradicts the fact that $\{a_1, \dots, a_k\}$ is a basis for $U \cap V$ and $\{a_1, \dots, a_k, v_1, \dots, v_{m-k}\}$ is linearly independent.

$\Rightarrow \beta_k = 0 \quad \forall k$. But $\{a_1, \dots, a_k, u_1, \dots, u_{n-k}\}$ is linearly independent

$\Rightarrow \lambda_i = \alpha_j = 0 \quad \forall i, j$

So $\{a_1, \dots, a_k, u_1, \dots, u_{n-k}, v_1, \dots, v_{m-k}\}$ spans $U+V$ and is linearly independent \Rightarrow it is a basis.

So $\dim(U+V) = (n-k) + (m-k) + k = n + m - k = \dim U + \dim V - \dim U \cap V$

