

MATH 23a, FALL 2002  
THEORETICAL LINEAR ALGEBRA  
AND MULTIVARIABLE CALCULUS  
Midterm Solutions (take-home portion)

**1. Prove the Second Isomorphism Theorem, which states:**

**If  $V$  and  $W$  are subspaces of a vector space  $U$ , then**

$$V/(V \cap W) \cong (V + W)/W.$$

We present two solutions:

- (a) We use the First Isomorphism Theorem, which states that if  $L : X \rightarrow Y$  is surjective, then  $X/\text{Ker}(L) \cong Y$ .

In this case, we let  $X = V$  and  $Y = (V + W)/W$  and define  $L : X \rightarrow Y$  by  $L(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{v} + W$ . It is clear that this  $L$  is linear, so we need to show that  $L$  is surjective and that  $\text{Ker}(L) = V \cap W$ .

Given  $(\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w}) + W \in (V + W)/W$ , choose  $\mathbf{v} \in V$ . Then  $L(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{v} + W$ , and we claim that  $\mathbf{v} + W \sim \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w} + W$ . This is true because  $(\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w}) - \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{w} \in W$ , and hence  $L$  is surjective.

Now we show that  $\text{Ker}(L) = V \cap W$ . Suppose  $\mathbf{v} \in \text{Ker}(L)$ . Then  $L(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{v} + W \sim \mathbf{0} + W$ , and  $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{0} \in W$ . Hence  $\mathbf{v} \in W$  and hence  $\mathbf{v} \in V \cap W$ .

On the other hand, suppose  $\mathbf{v} \in V \cap W$ . Then  $L(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{v} + W$ . We claim that  $\mathbf{v} + W \sim \mathbf{0} + W$ , but this follows since  $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{0} \in W$ , and hence  $\mathbf{v} \in \text{Ker}(L)$ .

- (b) We prove the theorem directly by constructing a bijective linear map  $L : V/(V \cap W) \rightarrow (V + W)/W$  as follows.  
Let  $L(\mathbf{v} + (V \cap W)) = \mathbf{v} + W$ . We need to show that  $L$  is well-defined, linear, and bijective, and the second of these is easy.

For well-definedness, suppose  $\mathbf{v}_1 + (V \cap W) \sim \mathbf{v}_2 + (V \cap W)$ . Then  $\mathbf{v}_1 - \mathbf{v}_2 \in V \cap W$ , and hence in particular  $\mathbf{v}_1 - \mathbf{v}_2 \in W$ . Thus,  $L(\mathbf{v}_1) = \mathbf{v}_1 + W \sim \mathbf{v}_2 + W = L(\mathbf{v}_2)$ , and  $L$  is well-defined.

For injectivity, suppose  $L(\mathbf{v}_1) = \mathbf{v}_1 + W \sim \mathbf{v}_2 + W = L(\mathbf{v}_2)$ . Then by definition of the equivalence,  $\mathbf{v}_1 - \mathbf{v}_2 \in W$ , but since both  $\mathbf{v}_1$  and  $\mathbf{v}_2$  are in  $V$ , by closure we also have  $\mathbf{v}_1 - \mathbf{v}_2 \in V$ , and hence  $\mathbf{v}_1 - \mathbf{v}_2 \in V \cap W$ .

For surjectivity, given  $(\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w}) + W \in (V + W)/W$ , choose  $\mathbf{v} + (V \cap W) \in V/(V \cap W)$ , and as in the first proof, it is easy to see that  $L(\mathbf{v} + (V \cap W)) = \mathbf{v} + W$  is equivalent to  $(\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w}) + W$ .

2. Consider the field  $F = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  with its elements identified as 0 and 1. (Properly speaking, these are representatives of equivalence classes, but we will allow the simpler notation.)

Now define  $F[x] = \{a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2 + \cdots + a_nx^n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}, a_i \in F, \forall i\}$  to be the vector space of all polynomials with coefficients in  $F = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ , where addition and scalar multiplication are defined as usual. Note, however, there is also already a notion of the multiplication of two polynomials, and that  $F[x]$  actually satisfies the axioms for a ring.

Let  $p(x) = x^3 + x + 1$  be a fixed vector (polynomial) in  $F[x]$ , and define  $I = \{a(x)p(x) \mid a(x) \in F[x]\}$  to be the subspace of  $F[x]$  consisting of all (polynomial, not just scalar) multiples of this single vector.

- (a) Show that  $I$  is a subspace of vector space  $F[x]$ . (In fact, it is a *subring*, but we are only concerned with vector space properties in this part of the question.)

We show closure under addition and scalar multiplication. Suppose  $q_1(x)$  and  $q_2(x)$  are in  $I$  and  $c \in F$ . Then, by the definition of  $I$ , there are polynomials  $a_1(x)$  and  $a_2(x)$  such that

$$q_1(x) = a_1(x)p(x) \text{ and } q_2(x) = a_2(x)p(x), \text{ and hence}$$

$(q_1 + q_2)(x) = (a_1 + a_2)(x)p(x)$ , and we have written  $q_1 + q_2$  as a product of  $p$  with some polynomial  $a_1 + a_2$ , so  $q_1 + q_2 \in I$ .

Similarly, we write  $(c \cdot q_1)(x) = c \cdot a_1(x)p(x) = (c \cdot a_1)(x)p(x)$ , and we have  $c \cdot q_1$  as a product of  $p$  with some other polynomial.

- (b) Define the quotient space  $F[x]/I$  in terms of the data above, and find a minimal complete set of coset representatives. (Note that this is not the same as finding a basis. Since  $F$  is finite, it is possible to list all the elements of  $F[x]/I$ . Hint: You might consider long division of polynomials to help you classify the cosets.)

Recall from the Division Algorithm for polynomials that if  $a(x)$  and  $b(x)$  are in  $F[x]$  and  $b(x) \neq 0$ , then there exist unique polynomials  $q(x), r(x) \in F[x]$  (the quotient and remainder, respectively) such that  $a(x) = q(x) \cdot b(x) + r(x)$  and  $\deg(r) < \deg(b)$ .

With this observation in place and thinking of  $b(x) = p(x)$  from the definition of  $I$ , we see that given the relationship

$$a(x) = q(x) \cdot p(x) + r(x),$$

we have  $a(x) + I \sim r(x) + I$  since  $a(x) - r(x) = q(x) \cdot p(x) \in I$ . Hence any polynomial is in the same coset as its remainder when divided by  $p(x)$ , and hence the set of all possible remainders will be a complete set of coset representatives.

Since we are dividing by  $p(x) = x^3 + x + 1$ , we see that  $0 \leq \deg(r) < 3$ , or in other words, we may write  $r(x) = a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2$ , where  $a_0, a_1, a_2 \in F = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ . Since there are two choices each for the three coefficients, we have the following 8 cosets:

$$\begin{array}{cccc} 0 + I & x + I & x^2 + I & (x^2 + x) + I \\ 1 + I & (x + 1) + I & (x^2 + 1) + I & (x^2 + x + 1) + I \end{array}$$

- (c) We have already seen in general that the quotient space has the structure of a vector space (so that we already have addition and scalar multiplication). Show that the natural definition of multiplication is well-defined for elements of the quotient space  $F[x]/I$ .

The natural definition of multiplication for two cosets follows the multiplication of polynomials:

$$(a(x) + I) \cdot (b(x) + I) = (a(x)b(x)) + I.$$

To show this is well-defined, suppose  $a_1(x) + I \sim a_2(x) + I$  and  $b_1(x) + I \sim b_2(x) + I$ . Then by definition of the equivalence, we

have  $a_1(x) - a_2(x) \in I$  and  $b_1(x) - b_2(x) \in I$ , and hence there are two polynomials  $c_1(x)$  and  $c_2(x)$  in  $F[x]$  such that:

$$\begin{aligned} a_1(x) &= a_2(x) + c_1(x) \cdot p(x) \\ b_1(x) &= b_2(x) + c_2(x) \cdot p(x) \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying the corresponding sides of the equations yields:

$$a_1(x)b_1(x) = a_2(x)b_2(x) + c_1(x)b_2(x) \cdot p(x) + c_2(x)a_2(x) \cdot p(x) + c_1(x)c_2(x) \cdot p(x)^2,$$

or in other words,

$$\begin{aligned} a_1(x)b_1(x) - a_2(x)b_2(x) &= c_1(x)b_2(x) \cdot p(x) + c_2(x)a_2(x) \cdot p(x) + c_1(x)c_2(x) \cdot p(x)^2 \\ &= [c_1(x)b_2(x) + c_2(x)a_2(x) + c_1(x)c_2(x) \cdot p(x)] \cdot p(x) \end{aligned}$$

and hence  $a_1(x)b_1(x) - a_2(x)b_2(x) \in I$  and  $a_1(x)b_1(x) + I \sim a_2(x)b_2(x) + I$ , which shows that multiplication is well-defined.

- (d) With the multiplication from part (c) and the representatives from part (b), show that  $F[x]/I$  satisfies Axiom M3 and M4 (multiplicative identity and inverses) for a field by explicitly naming the identity and all multiplicative inverses. (This shows that  $F[x]/I$  is a field because Axioms M1, M2, and D are inherited from the structure of  $F[x]$ .)**

Given the natural definition of multiplication above, the multiplicative identity is the coset  $1 + I$  because  $(1 + I)(a(x) + I) = a(x) + I$  for any  $a(x)$ .

As for any ring, the multiplicative identity is its own multiplicative inverse, and the additive identity (in this case  $0 + I$ ) has no multiplicative inverse. The other six elements of the quotient space are all invertible and they come in the following pairs:

$a$	$a^{-1}$
$x + I$	$(x^2 + 1) + I$
$(x + 1) + I$	$(x^2 + x) + I$
$x^2 + I$	$(x^2 + x + 1) + I$

The justification for each is similar, and we do the first as an example:

$$(x + I)((x^2 + 1) + I) = (x^3 + x) + I \sim 1 + I$$

and hence the two elements are inverses of each other.