

MATH 23a, FALL 2001  
Midterm (in-class portion)  
SOLUTIONS  
October 31, 2001

1. True or False

- Every Cauchy sequence of rational numbers converges to a rational number.  
**False.** This is why we construct the real numbers.
- If  $L : V \rightarrow W$  is a surjective linear map, then it is invertible.  
**False.**  $L$  needs to be bijective to be invertible. In the case presented, it is possible to have a non-trivial kernel.
- A linear transformation may have infinitely many eigenvalues.  
**True.** Consider  $D : C^\infty \rightarrow C^\infty$ , the usual differential operator. You showed in HW #2(a) that every real number is an eigenvalue for  $D$ .
- If  $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n\} \subset V$  is a set of linearly independent vectors, then any  $\mathbf{v} \in V$  may be written as a linear combination of these vectors in a unique way.  
**False.** These vectors may not span  $V$ .
- If  $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n\} \subset V$  is a set of vectors such that none of them is a scalar multiple of any of the others, then the set is linearly independent.  
**False.** If  $\mathbf{v}_3 = \mathbf{v}_1 + \mathbf{v}_2$ , for example, the vectors could satisfy the condition but not be linearly independent.

For the next three, consider the following:

Let  $K$  be the kernel of the linear transformation  $L : V \rightarrow V$ .

- $K$  is a subspace of  $V$ .  
**True.** We proved this in class.
- $K$  is an eigenspace of  $L$ .  
**False.** But only because “trivial eigenspaces” are not considered to be eigenspaces. (No points were deducted for any answer given to this problem!)
- If  $K$  is finite-dimensional, then  $V/K$  is finite-dimensional.  
**False.** If  $V$  is finite-dimensional, then yes, but otherwise, no.

2. Show that the linear transformation  $A : \mathbb{R}^3 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  given by

$$A(x, y, z) = (x + y, y, 2z)$$

is not diagonalizable by following these steps:

- (a) Define what it means for a linear transformation  $L : V \longrightarrow V$  to be *diagonalizable*. (Hint: You may state either the definition or the theorem which gives an equivalent definition.)
- (b) Determine all eigenvalues of  $A$ .
- (c) Give bases for all the corresponding eigenspaces.
- (d) Conclude that  $A$  is not diagonalizable.

**Solution:**

- (a) A linear transformation  $L : V \longrightarrow V$  is *diagonalizable* if the sum of the dimensions of the eigenspaces of  $L$  equals the dimension of  $V$ , or in symbols:

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \text{Spec}(L)} \dim(V_\lambda) = \dim(V),$$

where  $\text{Spec}(L)$  is the set of eigenvalues of  $L$  and  $V_\lambda$  is the eigenspace corresponding to  $\lambda$ .

The theorem says that this is equivalent to  $V$  having an eigenbasis with respect to  $L$ .

- (b) Suppose  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  is an eigenvalue of  $A$ . Then  $A(x, y, z) = \lambda(x, y, z)$ . Writing out both of these expressions and equating components, we get the three equations:

$$\begin{aligned}(1 - \lambda)x + y &= 0 \\ (1 - \lambda)y &= 0 \\ (2 - \lambda)z &= 0\end{aligned}$$

If  $\lambda = 1$ , then we find  $\mathbf{e}_1 = (1, 0, 0)$  satisfies these equations. If  $\lambda = 2$ , then we find  $\mathbf{e}_3 = (0, 0, 1)$  satisfies these equations. If  $\lambda \neq 1$  and  $\lambda \neq 2$ , then we conclude that  $z = 0$  (third equation),  $y = 0$  (second equation), and therefore  $x = 0$  (first equation). Hence no other real number is an eigenvalue.

- (c) If  $\lambda = 1$ , then the equations from part (b) reduce to:  $y = 0$  and  $z = 0$ . With no restriction on  $x$ , we see that

$$V_1 = \{(x, 0, 0) | x \in \mathbb{R}\} = \text{span}\{\mathbf{e}_1\}$$

If  $\lambda = 2$ , then the equations from part (b) reduce to:  $-x + y = 0$  and  $y = 0$ , and hence we also conclude that  $x = 0$ . With no restriction on  $z$ , we see that

$$V_2 = \{(0, 0, z) | z \in \mathbb{R}\} = \text{span}\{\mathbf{e}_3\}$$

- (d)  $\dim(V_1) = 1$  and  $\dim(V_2) = 1$ , but  $\dim(V) = 3$ , so the diagonalizability definition fails because  $1 + 1 \neq 3$ .

3. Consider the linear transformation  $B : \mathbb{R}^4 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^4$  given by

$$B(x, y, z, w) = (z - x, y - z, 0, x - y)$$

- (a) Find a basis for  $K = \text{Ker}(B)$ , and show that it is a basis.  
(b) Define the *quotient space*  $U/V$ , where  $V$  is a subspace of a vector space  $U$ .  
(c) Find a basis for  $\mathbb{R}^4/K$ , and show that it is a basis.

**Solution:**

- (a) Suppose  $\mathbf{v} = (x, y, z, w) \in \text{Ker}(B)$ . Then  $B(\mathbf{v}) = (z - x, y - z, 0, x - y) = (0, 0, 0, 0)$ . Matching up the components yields the three equations:  $z = x$ ,  $y = z$ , and  $x = y$ . Hence, given any  $x$ , our choices of  $y$  and  $z$  are forced to be the same, but  $w$  may be chosen independently, and we get:

$$\text{Ker}(B) = \{(x, x, x, w) | x, w \in \mathbb{R}\} = \text{span}\{(1, 1, 1, 0), (0, 0, 0, 1)\}$$

It is clear that these two vectors are linearly independent because they are not scalar multiples of each other.

- (b) By definition,  $U/V = \{\mathbf{u} + V | \mathbf{u} \in U\}$ , where  $\mathbf{u} + V = \{\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v} | \mathbf{v} \in V\}$  is a coset of  $V$ , and  $\mathbf{u}_1 + V = \mathbf{u}_2 + V$  if and only if  $\mathbf{u}_1 - \mathbf{u}_2 \in V$ .

- (c) We know that  $\dim(U/V) = \dim(U) - \dim(V)$  when  $U$  is finite-dimensional, so in this case, we have  $\dim(\mathbb{R}^4/K) = \dim(\mathbb{R}^4) - \dim(K) = 4 - 2 = 2$ . Hence any basis of  $\mathbb{R}^4/K$  will have two elements, and it will suffice to check either that they span the space or that they are linearly independent. For simplicity, since neither  $\mathbf{e}_2$  nor  $\mathbf{e}_3$  is in the kernel, we claim:

$$\mathbb{R}^4/K = \text{span}\{\mathbf{e}_2 + K, \mathbf{e}_3 + K\}$$

We show linear independence of these two vectors:

Suppose  $a_2(\mathbf{e}_2 + K) + a_3(\mathbf{e}_3 + K) = \mathbf{0} + K$ . Then  $(a_2\mathbf{e}_2 + a_3\mathbf{e}_3) + K = \mathbf{0} + K$ , or in other words,  $a_2\mathbf{e}_2 + a_3\mathbf{e}_3 = (0, a_2, a_3, 0) \in K$ . By our determination of  $K$  in part (a), if the first component is 0, then so are the second and third components, and so  $a_2 = 0$  and  $a_3 = 0$ . Hence the two vectors are linearly independent.

4. If  $d \in \mathbb{Z}$  is a non-square (that is, there is no integer  $c$  such that  $c^2 = d$ ), then  $\sqrt{d}$  is an irrational number, and we define

$$\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d}) = \{a + b\sqrt{d} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Q}\},$$

where  $\mathbb{Q}$  is the field of rational numbers. We also define addition and multiplication as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} (a + b\sqrt{d}) + (c + e\sqrt{d}) &= (a + c) + (b + e)\sqrt{d} \\ (a + b\sqrt{d}) \cdot (c + e\sqrt{d}) &= (ac + bed) + (ae + bc)\sqrt{d} \end{aligned}$$

It may be checked that  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$  is a vector space over the field  $\mathbb{Q}$  by verifying the axioms. Note that scalar multiplication is given implicitly by the second formula above, where we identify the rational number (the scalar)  $a \in \mathbb{Q}$  with the element  $a + 0\sqrt{d} \in \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ . Moreover, according to the rules for addition and multiplication above, it may be verified that  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$  is actually a field.

Finally, we define a concept closely related to that of linear map. If  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  are fields, then a *field homomorphism* between them is a map  $\varphi : F_1 \rightarrow F_2$  satisfying:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(x + y) &= \varphi(x) + \varphi(y), \forall x, y \in F_1 \\ \varphi(xy) &= \varphi(x)\varphi(y), \forall x, y \in F_1 \end{aligned}$$

- (a) Find the dimension of  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  (as a vector space) by finding a basis.
- (b) Show that  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}) \cong \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})$  as vector spaces by constructing a bijective linear map between them.
- (c) Show that  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$  satisfies Axiom M4 (Multiplicative Inverses) for a field by finding the multiplicative inverse for the element  $a + b\sqrt{2}$ .
- (d) Show that for any field homomorphism,  $\varphi : F_1 \rightarrow F_2$ , we must have  $\varphi(1) = 1$ .
- (e) Show that the map you constructed in part (b) is not a field isomorphism (bijective homomorphism).

**Solution:**

- (a)  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d}) = \text{span}\{1, \sqrt{d}\}$ , where we identify 1 with the element  $1 + 0\sqrt{d}$  and the element  $\sqrt{d}$  with  $0 + 1\sqrt{d}$ .

If  $a + b\sqrt{d} \in \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ , then  $a + b\sqrt{d} = a(1) + b(\sqrt{d})$ , so these two vectors span  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ . If  $a + b\sqrt{d} = 0$ , then  $a = 0$  and  $b = 0$ , so these vectors are linearly independent. Hence,  $\dim(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})) = 2$ .

- (b) Define  $L : \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})$  by the rule:

$$L(a + b\sqrt{2}) = a + b\sqrt{-1}$$

We check the requirements:

- $L$  is linear:

$$\begin{aligned} L(\alpha_1(a + b\sqrt{2}) + \alpha_2(c + d\sqrt{2})) &= L((\alpha_1a + \alpha_2c) + (\alpha_1b + \alpha_2d)\sqrt{2}) \\ &= (\alpha_1a + \alpha_2c) + (\alpha_1b + \alpha_2d)\sqrt{-1} \\ &= \alpha_1(a + b\sqrt{-1}) + \alpha_2(c + d\sqrt{-1}) \end{aligned}$$

- $L$  is injective:

Suppose  $L(a + b\sqrt{2}) - L(c + d\sqrt{2}) = 0$ . Then  $L((a - c) + (b - d)\sqrt{2}) = (a - c) + (b - d)\sqrt{-1} = 0$ , and hence  $a = c$  and  $b = d$ .

- $L$  is surjective:

If  $a + b\sqrt{-1} \in \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})$ , then take  $a + b\sqrt{2} \in \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$ , and clearly  $L(a + b\sqrt{2}) = a + b\sqrt{-1}$ , by the definition of  $L$ .

- (c) Given  $a + b\sqrt{2} \in \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$  with not both  $a$  and  $b$  equal to 0, we require  $x + y\sqrt{2} \in \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$  such that  $(a + b\sqrt{2})(x + y\sqrt{2}) = 1 + 0\sqrt{2}$ . Multiplying on the left and matching coordinates, we get the system of two equations in two unknowns:

$$ay + bx = 0$$

$$ax + 2by = 1$$

which we must solve for  $x$  and  $y$  in terms of  $a$  and  $b$ . Doing so yields:

$$x = \frac{a}{a^2 - 2b^2} \quad \text{and} \quad y = \frac{-b}{a^2 - 2b^2}$$

and so

$$(a + b\sqrt{2})^{-1} = \frac{1}{a^2 - 2b^2}(a - b\sqrt{2}).$$

It is worth noting that  $a^2 - 2b^2 \neq 0$  for any rational numbers  $a$  and  $b$ .

- (d) According to the second rule for a field homomorphism:  $\varphi(1) \cdot \varphi(1) = \varphi(1 \cdot 1) = \varphi(1)$  and hence  $\varphi(1) = 0$  or  $1$ . In the first case, if  $a \in F_1$  were any other element, then we would have

$$\varphi(a) = \varphi(a) \cdot \varphi(1) = \varphi(a) \cdot \varphi(1) = \varphi(a) \cdot 0 = 0,$$

and so  $\varphi$  would be the trivial homomorphism. (Since the phrasing of the question did not rule out the trivial case, there will be great leniency in the grading of this part.)

- (e) Using the map  $L$  from part (b), we compute  $X = L((a + b\sqrt{2})(x + y\sqrt{2}))$  in two ways. On the one hand, we have  $X = L((ax + 2by) + (ay + bx)\sqrt{2}) = (ax + 2by) + (ay + bx)\sqrt{-1}$ . On the other hand, according to the second rule for a field homomorphism, we have  $X = L(a + b\sqrt{2}) \cdot L(x + y\sqrt{2}) = (a + b\sqrt{-1}) \cdot (x + y\sqrt{-1}) = (ax - by) + (ay + bx)\sqrt{-1}$ .

The only way the two expressions for  $X$  match is if  $-by = 2by$ , which is not true in general, and so the second rule fails.