

## Solution Set 2: Part B

Benjamin Bakker  
Mathematics 25a  
Prof. Berger

October 3, 2003

B.1. (1) Show that  $(\mathbb{Q}, d_p)$  is a metric space and that  $d(x, z) \leq \max(d(x, y), d(y, z))$ .

*Solution.* We first have to verify the axioms for a metric, namely that  $\forall x, y, z \in \mathbb{Q}$

- $d(x, y) \geq 0$
- $d(x, y) = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = y$
- $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$
- $d(x, z) \leq d(x, y) + d(y, z)$

$d(x, y)$  is defined as either a power of  $p$  or 0, and therefore satisfies the first condition. For any  $x, y$ ,  $v(x - y) = v(y - x)$ , since we can pull exactly the same number of factors out of  $x - y$  and  $y - x$ , so  $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$ .  $d(x, y)$  is a power of  $p$  if  $x \neq y$ , and zero otherwise, so it is nonnegative and zero if and only if  $x = y$ . Suppose  $x - y = p^{v_1} \frac{n_1}{d_1}$ ,  $z - y = p^{v_2} \frac{n_2}{d_2}$ , with  $n_i, d_i$  relatively prime to  $p$ . We can assume  $v_1 \leq v_2$ , so that  $v(x - z) = v((x - y) - (z - y)) \geq v_1$ , for

$$x - z = p^{v_1} \frac{n_1}{d_1} - p^{v_2} \frac{n_2}{d_2} = p^{v_1} \left( \frac{n_1 d_2 - n_2 d_1 p^{v_2 - v_1}}{d_1 d_2} \right)$$

and since  $d_i$  are relatively prime to  $p$ , we can't pull out any more factors of  $p$  from the denominator, although we may be able to factor some out of the numerator (if  $v_1 = v_2$ ). Thus,

$$d(x, z) = p^{-v(x-z)} \leq p^{-v_1} = \max(p^{-v_1}, p^{-v_2}) = \max(d(x, y), d(y, z))$$

The triangle inequality,  $d(x, z) \leq d(x, y) + d(y, z)$ , then follows.  $\square$

(2) Show every element of  $B(a, r)$  is a center of  $B(a, r)$

*Solution.* Note that every ball  $B(a, r)$  is nonempty since  $a \in B(a, r)$ . For any  $x \in B(a, r)$ ,  $d(x, a) < r$ . Pick any  $y \in B(x, r)$ , so that  $d(x, y) < r$ . By the above,  $d(y, a) \leq \max(d(x, a), d(x, y)) = r$ , so  $y \in B(a, r)$ , and therefore  $B(x, r) \subset B(a, r)$ . Certainly  $a \in B(x, r)$ , so applying the same reasoning  $B(a, r) \subset B(x, r) \Rightarrow B(x, r) = B(a, r)$ .  $\square$

(3) Show that any given two balls are either disjoint or one is contained in the other

*Solution.* Suppose there is an element  $x \in B(a_1, r_1) \cap B(a_2, r_2)$ . From part (2),  $B(x, r_1) = B(a_1, r_1)$  and  $B(x, r_2) = B(a_2, r_2)$ . Without loss of generality we may assume  $r_1 \leq r_2$ , so  $B(a_1, r_1) = B(x, r_1) \subset B(x, r_2) = B(a_2, r_2)$ . Thus, either the two balls intersect, and therefore one is contained in the other, or they are disjoint.  $\square$

B.2. If  $u = \{u_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  is a sequence of elements in a metric space  $(E, d)$ , show that the set  $A(u)$  of accumulation points is closed.

*Solution.* To show that  $A(u)$  is closed, we will prove that the complement of  $A(u)$  is open. Take any point  $x \notin A(u)$ .  $x$  is not an accumulation point of  $\{u_n\}$ , so for some  $\epsilon$  there can be no points of the sequence closer to  $x$  than  $\epsilon$ , i.e.,  $B(x, \epsilon) \cap \{u_n\} = \emptyset$ ; otherwise,  $x$  would be an accumulation point. There can be no accumulation point  $u \in B(x, \epsilon)$ . To see this,

suppose there was, and let  $\delta = d(x, u)$ .  $B(u, \epsilon - \delta)$  is contained in  $B(x, \epsilon)$ , since if  $y$  is in the former,  $d(y, u) < \epsilon - \delta \Rightarrow d(x, y) \leq d(y, u) + \delta < \epsilon$ . But  $B(u, \epsilon - \delta)$  doesn't contain any elements of the sequence  $\{u_n\}$ , so  $u$  is not an accumulation point. We have therefore produced a ball around an arbitrary  $x \in X \setminus A(u)$  that is contained in  $X \setminus A(u)$ , and thus  $X \setminus A(u)$  is open.

. Alternatively, we can show that for any sequence  $x_n$  in  $\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{u})$ , if  $x_n$  converges to  $x$  then  $x \in A(u)$ . For every ball  $B(x, \frac{1}{2m})$ , there exists an  $N$  such that  $x_n \in B(x, \frac{1}{2m})$  for  $n > N$ .  $x_{N+1}$  is an accumulation point, and therefore there must be an element of  $u$ , say  $u'_m$ , that is in  $B(x_{N+1}, \frac{1}{2m})$ . We can pick the  $u'_m$  to be different from our previous choices since there are always infinitely many elements of the sequence in  $B(x_{N+1}, \frac{1}{2m})$ , and therefore the  $u'_m$  may be rearranged into a subsequence of  $u_n$ . For any  $m$ ,  $u'_m \in B(x, \frac{1}{m})$  by the triangle inequality, and since  $\frac{1}{m}$  converges to 0,  $u'_m$  converges to  $x$ . Hence,  $x \in A(u)$ , and  $A(u)$  is closed.  $\square$

B.3. Let  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be defined by  $f(x) = \sqrt{x}$ . Show that  $f$  is uniformly continuous.

*Solution.* If we know  $f(x) = \sqrt{x}$  is continuous, then this problem is really easy. We proved in class that every continuous function on a compact space is uniformly continuous, and that  $[0, 1]$  is compact, so  $f$  must be uniformly continuous.

. To make this problem more fun, we will now show that  $f$  is continuous. Let  $x_0 \in [0, 1]$ , and let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given. If we take  $\delta = \epsilon^2$ , then by the triangle inequality

$$|\sqrt{x} - \sqrt{x'}| \leq \sqrt{x} + \sqrt{x'}$$

and therefore

$$|x - x'| = |\sqrt{x} - \sqrt{x'}|(\sqrt{x} + \sqrt{x'}) < \delta = \epsilon^2 \Rightarrow |\sqrt{x} - \sqrt{x'}|^2 \leq |x - x'| < \epsilon^2 \Rightarrow |\sqrt{x} - \sqrt{x'}| < \epsilon$$

$\square$

B.4. Check that a  $K$ -Lipshitz function is uniformly continuous. Show that for every  $0 < a < 1$ , there is a  $K_a$  such that  $f(x) = \sqrt{x}$  is  $K_a$ -Lipshitz on  $[a, 1]$ . What happens at  $a = 0$ ?

*Solution.* Given that  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is  $K$ -Lipshitz, then for all  $\epsilon > 0$ , take  $\delta = \epsilon/K$ . For any  $x, x' \in X$ , we then have

$$d_X(x, x') < \delta = \epsilon/K \Rightarrow d_Y(f(x), f(x')) \leq K d_Y(x, x') < \epsilon$$

and  $f$  is uniformly continuous.

. For  $0 < a < 1$ , take  $K_a = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{a}}$  (we might guess this because we can think of  $K_a$  as a bound on the derivative—see below—but we shouldn't use this intuition in the formal proof!). For all  $x, x' \in [a, 1]$ ,  $a \leq x \leq 1$  so that  $\sqrt{a} \leq \sqrt{x}$ , and similarly for  $x'$ . Thus,  $1/K_a \leq \sqrt{x} + \sqrt{x'} \Rightarrow 1 \leq K_a(\sqrt{x} + \sqrt{y})$ , and

$$|\sqrt{x} - \sqrt{x'}| \leq K_a |\sqrt{x} - \sqrt{x'}|(\sqrt{x} + \sqrt{x'}) \leq K_a |x - x'|$$

The above does not work if  $a = 0$ , for then  $K_a$  is not defined. Heuristically, you can think of the constant  $K$  as a bound on the “derivative,”

$$\frac{|f(x) - f(x')|}{|x - x'|} \leq K$$

But the derivative of  $\sqrt{x}$ , which is  $-x^{-1/2}$ , is unbounded at the origin.  $\square$