

## Math 55a, Fall 2004

---

### Midterm Solutions

---

**1a)** Carefully state Urysohn's Lemma:

Let  $X$  be a normal topological space and  $S_0, S_1 \subset X$  disjoint closed subsets. Then there exists a continuous function  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $0 \leq f(x) \leq 1$  for all  $x \in X$ ,  $f \equiv 0$  on  $S_0$ , and  $f \equiv 1$  on  $S_1$ .

**1b)** Prove that every compact Hausdorff space is normal:

This is (one half of) problem 1) of the fifth assignment – see the fifth solution set.

**1c)** Let  $X$  be a compact Hausdorff space,  $X \neq \emptyset$ , and  $C(X)$  the ring of all continuous real-valued functions on  $X$ . Let  $I \subset C(X)$  denote a proper ideal (i.e.,  $I \subset C(X)$ ;  $I \neq C(X)$ ;  $f, g \in I \implies f + g \in I$ ;  $f \in I, g \in C(X) \implies fg \in I$ ). Show that

$$V(I) =_{\text{def}} \{x \in X \mid f(x) = 0 \text{ for all } f \in I\} \quad (\text{this is the } \textit{variety} \text{ of } I)$$

is non-empty:

We argue by contradiction. Because of the assumption that  $V(I) = \emptyset$ , for each  $x \in X$ , there exists  $f_x \in I$  such that  $f_x(x) \neq 0$ . The sets  $U_x = \{y \in X \mid f_x(y) \neq 0\}$  are open and cover  $X$ , since  $x \in U_x$  by construction. Finitely many of the  $U_x$  cover  $X$ . Let  $f$  denote the sum of the *squares* of the finitely many corresponding functions  $f_x$ . Since each  $f_x^2$  is strictly positive on  $U_x$ , the function  $f$  is strictly positive, and therefore  $1/f \in C(X)$ . As a sum of functions in  $I$ ,  $f$  lies in the ideal  $I$ , as does the constant function  $1 = f \cdot 1/f \in I \cdot C(X) = I$ . But any ideal which contains the constant function 1 coincides with  $C(X)$ . Thus  $I = C(X)$ , completing the proof by contradiction.

**1d)** With  $X$  and  $C(X)$  as in the previous part of the problem, show that for every  $x \in X$ ,

$$I_x =_{\text{def}} \{f \in C(X) \mid f(x) = 0\}$$

is a maximal ideal in  $C(X)$ , and that conversely every maximal ideal equals  $I_x$ , for some uniquely determined  $x \in X$ :

Evidently  $I_x$  is an ideal. If it were not maximal, there would exist a proper ideal  $J$  containing  $I_x$  and some function  $f \in J - I_x$ . But then  $f(x) \cdot 1 = f - (f - f(x))$ ,  $f \in J$ ,  $f - f(x) \in I_x \subset J$ , and  $f(x) \neq 0$  since  $f \notin I_x$  by hypothesis. This would imply that  $J$  contains a non-zero constant function, hence the constant function

1, contradicting the assumption that  $J$  is a proper ideal.

To prove the converse, I consider a maximal ideal  $I \subset C(X)$ . We just saw that there exists some point  $x \in V(I)$  – in other words, a point at which all functions in  $I$  vanish. Thus  $I \subset I_x$ , hence  $I = I_x$  by maximality. It remains to be shown that  $I_x \neq I_y$  if  $x \neq y$ , but that follows from Urysohn’s lemma.

**Addendum to problem 1.** According to the problem, the algebraic structure of  $C(X)$  determines  $X$  as a set. But more can be said: the algebraic structure determines  $X$  even as a topological space. To see this, note first of all that we can recover the values of functions at points  $x \in X$  from the algebraic data. Indeed, the value of  $f \in C(X)$  at the point  $x \in X$  is the unique constant  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $f - c \cdot 1 \in I_x$ . This implies that the sets  $U_{f,\epsilon} = f^{-1}(-\epsilon, \epsilon)$ , with  $f \in C(X)$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ , are completely determined by the algebraic structure of  $C(X)$ . These sets, on the other hand, constitute a base for the topology of  $X$ , as can be inferred from Urysohn’s lemma.

**2a)** Recall the definition of  $\text{Sym}(\{1, 2, \dots, n\})$  as the group – under composition of maps – of all bijective maps from the set  $\{1, 2, 3, \dots, n\}$  to itself. One commonly denotes this group by  $S_n$  and calls it the “symmetry group on  $n$  letters”. Note that  $\{\sigma \in S_n \mid \sigma(n) = n\}$ , the isotropy group at  $n$ , can be naturally identified with  $S_{n-1}$ . Thus, for every subgroup  $H \subset S_n$ ,  $\{\sigma \in H \mid \sigma(n) = n\}$  can be viewed as a subgroup of  $S_{n-1}$ . Let  $H \subset S_n$  be a subgroup which acts (via the tautological action of  $S_n$ ) transitively on  $\{1, 2, 3, \dots, n\}$ . Show that  $H$  coincides with  $S_n$  if and only if  $\{\sigma \in H \mid \sigma(n) = n\} = S_{n-1}$ :

If  $H = S_n$ , the isotropy subgroup of  $H$  at  $n$  must coincide with the isotropy subgroup of  $S_n$  at  $n$ , i.e., it must coincide with  $S_{n-1}$ . Conversely, suppose that a subgroup  $H \subset S_n$  acts transitively on  $\{1, 2, 3, \dots, n\}$ , and that the isotropy subgroup of  $H$  at  $n$  is all of  $S_{n-1}$ . Let  $\sigma \in S_n$  be given. Choose  $\tau \in H$  so that  $\tau(n) = \sigma(n)$ ; here we use the transitivity assumption. Then  $(\tau^{-1}\sigma)(n) = n$ , i.e.,  $\tau^{-1}\sigma$  lies in the isotropy subgroup of  $S_n$  at  $n$ . That isotropy subgroup coincides with the isotropy subgroup of  $H$  at  $n$  by hypothesis, hence  $\tau^{-1}\sigma \in H$ . But then  $\sigma = \tau\tau^{-1}\sigma \in \tau H = H$ , as needed to be shown.

**2b)** A transposition is an element of  $S_n$  which interchanges two distinct indices and leaves all the others unchanged. In other words, for  $1 \leq k < \ell \leq n$ , the transformation  $\sigma_{k,\ell} \in S_n$ , defined by the rule

$$\sigma_{k,\ell}(k) = \ell, \quad \sigma_{k,\ell}(\ell) = k, \quad \sigma_{k,\ell}(j) = j \text{ if } j \neq k, \ell,$$

is a transposition, and every transposition is of this type. Let  $T_n$  denote the subgroup of  $S_n$  generated by all transpositions. Show that  $T_n$  acts transitively on the set  $\{1, 2, 3, \dots, n\}$ :

Let  $\mathcal{O}_1$  denote the orbit of  $T_n$  containing 1. For each integer  $k$ ,  $2 \leq k \leq n$ , the transposition  $\sigma_{1,k}$  maps 1 to  $k$ . But then  $k \in \mathcal{O}_1$ . Thus  $\mathcal{O}_1$  contains all integers between  $k$  and  $n$ ; in other words,  $T_n$  acts transitively.

**2c)** Prove that the subgroup  $T_n \subset S_n$  defined in the previous part of the problem equals  $S_n$ .

Let us argue by induction on  $n$ . For  $n = 1$ , there is nothing to prove since  $S_1 = \{e\}$  is the trivial group. Because of a) and b), it suffices to show that the isotropy subgroup of  $T_n$  at  $n$  coincides with  $S_{n-1}$ . But transpositions generate  $S_{n-1}$ , according to the induction hypothesis. Thus  $T_n$  contains all of  $S_{n-1}$ , viewed as subgroup of  $S_n$ , as before. This completes the inductive step.