

# Introductory comments on the eigencurve

February 10, 2006

## Handout # 2.

### 1 Classical Eisenstein series

Let  $p$  be an odd prime number. Recall the classical family of Eisenstein series  $E_k$  for  $k$  an even integer ( $> 2$ )

$$E_k = 1 - \frac{2k}{b_k} \sum_{n \geq 1} \sigma_{k-1}(n)q^n,$$

where  $b_k$  is the  $k$ -th Bernoulli number and

$$\sigma_{k-1}(n) := \sum_{0 < d \mid n} d^{k-1},$$

so that

$$E_4 = 1 + 240 \sum_{n \geq 1} \sigma_3(n)q^n,$$

and

$$E_6 = 1 - 504 \sum_{n \geq 1} \sigma_5(n)q^n,$$

and

$$E_{10} = E_4 E_6 = 1 - 11 \cdot 24 \sum_{n \geq 1} \sigma_9(n)q^n \equiv 1 \pmod{11},$$

and more generally

$$E_k \equiv 1 \pmod{11}$$

for  $k$  divisible by 10

These power series  $E_k$  are the Fourier series of the most basic of Eisenstein series, and are modular eigenforms (for all Hecke operators) of weight  $k$  and of level one. This family doesn't yet  $p$ -adically interpolate. We need to augment these forms to be eigenforms for  $\Gamma_0(p)$ .

Put, then,

$$E_k^{(p)}(q) = E_k(q) - p^{k-1}E_k(q^p)$$

giving us eigenforms on  $\Gamma_0(p)$  with Fourier expansions

$$E_k^{(p)}(q) = 1 - p^{k-1} - \frac{2k}{b_k} \sum_{n \geq 1} \sigma_{k-1}^{(p)}(n)q^n,$$

where

$$\sigma_{k-1}^{(p)}(n)q^n := \sum_{0 < d \mid n; (p,d)=1} d^{k-1}.$$

There are *two* fairly natural ways of renormalizing these Eisenstein series, depending upon the congruence class of  $k \bmod p-1$ .

- For  $k \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p-1}$  divide by  $-\frac{2k}{b_k}$  to get:

$$\mathbf{Eis}_k^{(p)}(q) := -(1 - p^{k-1}) \frac{b_k}{2k} + \sum_{n \geq 1} \sigma_{k-1}^{(p)}(n)q^n,$$

- In the specific arithmetic progression  $k \geq 2$ ,  $k \equiv 0 \pmod{p-1}$ , it is better to renormalize  $E_k^{(p)}(q)$  by dividing by  $1 - p^{k-1}$  to get:

$$\mathcal{E}_k^{(p)}(q) = 1 - \frac{2k}{(1 - p^{k-1})b_k} \sum_{n \geq 1} \sigma_{k-1}^{(p)}(n)q^n,$$

Both of these—thanks to the Kummer congruences, and Euler theorem— interpolate  $p$ -adically, and come from  $\Lambda$ -adic Eisenstein series as previously discussed.

Specifically, let

$$W := \lim_{\nu} 2\mathbf{Z}/(p-1)p^{\nu-1}\mathbf{Z} = 2\mathbf{Z}/(p-1)\mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z}_p.$$

The even positive numbers,  $2\mathbf{N}$ , form a dense subset of  $W$ . We view  $W$  as a *space of  $p$ -adic weights*. Later we will think of  $W$  as the *classically accessible weights* in the rigid-analytic space  $\mathcal{W}$  of  $\mathbf{C}_p$ -valued weights (a union of  $\frac{p-1}{2}$  disjoint open discs). But for the moment, put

$$W = W_0 \sqcup W_2 \sqcup \dots \sqcup W_{p-2}$$

where  $W_i = \{w \in W \mid w \equiv i \pmod{p-1}\}$ . So each  $W_i$  may be canonically identified with  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ . For each such  $i$  put

For  $i \in 2\mathbf{Z}/(p-1)\mathbf{Z}$  define  $\sigma_{\{i\}}(n) \in \Lambda$  to be

$$\sum_{0 < d \mid n; (p,d)=1} \omega^i(d) \langle d \rangle \in \Lambda.$$

Also,

$$L_p(1-k, \omega^k) = -(1-p^{k-1}) \frac{b_k}{2k},$$

(cf. Larry Washington's *Introduction to Cyclotomic Fields*, and in particular his treatment of Kummer congruences (Corollary 5.14), page 61).

Here  $\omega$  is the Teichmüller character,  $i \in 2\mathbf{Z}/(p-1)\mathbf{Z}$ , and  $L_p(s, \omega^i)$  is the Leopoldt-Kubota  $p$ -adic  $L$ -function.

When  $i \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p-1}$ ,  $L_p(s, \omega^i)$  comes from an “Iwasawa function” in  $L_{p, \{i\}} \in \Lambda$  under specialization to weight “ $1-s$ .”

When  $i \equiv 0 \pmod{p-1}$  it is rather the *inverse*,  $L_p(s, \omega^i)^{-1}$  that comes from an “Iwasawa function” that we will denote  $L_{p, \{0\}}^{-1} \in \Lambda$  under specialization to weight “ $1-s$ .” Moreover, by Clausen- Von Staudt,

$$L_{p, \{0\}}^{-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}.$$

Our two Eisenstein series then come from  $\Lambda$ -adic counterparts,

- For  $i \not\equiv 0$ ,

$$\mathbf{Eis}_{\{i\}}^{(p)}(q) := L_{p, \{i\}} + \sum_{n \geq 1} \sigma_{\{i\}}^{(p)}(n) q^n \in \Lambda[[q]]$$

- For  $k \equiv 0$  modulo  $p-1$ , we have:

$$\mathcal{E}_{\{0\}}^{(p)}(q) = 1 - L_{p, \{0\}}^{-1} \sum_{n \geq 1} \sigma_{\{0\}}^{(p)}(n) q^n \in \Lambda[[q]].$$

## 2 On the uses of the Eisenstein lines $\mathbf{Eis}_{\{i\}}^{(p)}$ and $\mathcal{E}_{\{0\}}^{(p)}$ .

The main use of  $\mathcal{E}_{\{0\}}^{(p)}$  stems from the fact that

$$\mathcal{E}_{\{0\}}^{(p)} \equiv 1 \pmod{p},$$

its specialization to weight 0 is the constant function 1, and finally its specialization to an even positive weight is a classical modular (eigen-) form of that weight. Therefore  $\mathcal{E}_{\{0\}}^{(p)}$  can help to spread out a classical modular form  $f$  of a given weight  $k$ , by straightforward multiplication

$$f \cdot \mathcal{E}_{\{0\}}^{(p)} \in \Lambda[[q]]$$

to give us a  $\Lambda$ -adic form with the property that

- Its Fourier series taken modulo  $p$  is *constant*, and more specifically

$$f \cdot \mathcal{E}_{\{0\}}^{(p)} \equiv f \pmod{p},$$

- $f \cdot \mathcal{E}_{\{0\}}^{(p)}$  specializes to the given form  $f$  in weight  $k$ ,
- $f \cdot \mathcal{E}_{\{0\}}^{(p)}$  specializes to a classical modular form in even (integral) weights  $k' \geq k$  such that  $k' \equiv k \pmod{p-1}$ .

Of course, even if  $f$  was a modular eigenform, the specialized classical modular forms in weights  $k' \geq k$  such that  $k' \equiv k \pmod{p-1}$  may not be; but we will deal with this soon.

The *Eisenstein lines*  $\mathbf{Eis}_{\{i\}}^{(p)}(q)$  play a different role. Here we can illustrate it with the first interesting case  $p = 37$  where, when  $i = 32$  the  $p$ -adic  $L$  function has a zero (a unique zero, in fact) and therefore the Fourier series of  $\mathbf{Eis}_{\{32\}}^{(37)}(q)$  when specialized to the weight corresponding to that zero (probably a transcendental 37-adic weight) we get the Fourier series of something that “looks like” a cuspform. Discuss this.

### 3 Getting the cuspidal $\Lambda$ -adic family from the Eisenstein family

Now return to the set-up of our previous handout, with  $p = 11$ . We may also view our cuspidal  $\Lambda$ -adic Fourier expansion,

$$F = \sum_{n \geq 0} T_n q^n \in \Lambda[[q]],$$

of the previous handout, as a family parametrized by  $W_2$ , setting

$$F_\kappa = \sum_{n \geq 0} \kappa(T_n) q^n \in \mathbf{Z}_p[[q]]$$

for  $\kappa \in W$ . Here, again, if  $\kappa$  is an even positive integer  $k$  congruent to 2 mod  $p-1$  then  $F_\kappa$  is a classical modular cuspidal eigenform on  $\Gamma_0(p)$  of weight  $k$ .

**Theorem 1** (Construction of  $F = \sum_{n \geq 0} T_n q^n \in \Lambda[[q]]$ )

The limit

$$\mathcal{F} := \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \text{Normalize}\{U_p^\nu(f_2 \cdot \mathcal{E}^{(p)})\} \in \Lambda[[q]]$$

exists, and has the property that for every even positive integer  $k$  congruent to 2 mod  $p - 1$  the specialization  $\mathcal{F}_k$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  to weight  $k$  is equal to the unique normalized cuspidal eigenform  $f_k$  with  $p$ -adic unit  $U_p$ -eigenvalue. [So,  $\mathcal{F}$  is the  $\Lambda$ -adic form denoted  $F$  in the previous handout.]

### Discussion of the ingredients of a proof of this.

Consider any infinite sequence of distinct weights  $w_j : \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_p$  for  $j = 1, 2, 3, \dots$  and let

$$I_m := \bigcap_{j=1,2,\dots,m} \ker(w_j) \subset \Lambda$$

so that we get a decreasing sequence of ideals

$$I_1 \supset I_2 \supset \dots$$

with

$$\bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} I_m = \{0\}.$$

Let

$$F_{\nu,N} := \text{Normalize}\{U_p^\nu(f_2 \cdot \mathcal{E}^{(p)})\} \text{ modulo } p^N,$$

and we will show first that this sequence, for fixed  $N$  and  $\nu$  tending to infinity achieves a limit.

The main *real* ingredient of the proof of this is just that the  $U_p$ -unit eigensubspace of the space  $S_k(\Gamma_0(11))$  is of  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ -rank 1, generated by a (unique, therefore) normalized eigenform (denoted  $f_k$  in the previous handout) for  $k \geq 2$  with  $k \equiv 2 \pmod{p-1}$ . We will be taking our weights  $w_j := 2 + j(p-1)$ .

[Recall, in this section,  $p = 11$  but we call it  $p$  so as not to be typographically inundated with 11's.]

For any  $N$ , the intersection of the ideals  $(I_m, p^N)$  for all  $m$  is equal to the ideal generated by  $p^N$  in  $\Lambda$ , and for any even positive integer  $k$  congruent to 2 mod  $p - 1$ , there exists an integer  $\nu(k, N)$  such that if  $\nu \geq \nu(k, N)$  then

$$U_p^\nu(S_k(\Gamma_0(p), \mathbf{Z}_p)) \subset f_k \cdot \mathbf{Z}_p + p^N S_k(\Gamma_0(p), \mathbf{Z}_p).$$

So, if  $\nu, \nu'$  are larger than  $\max\{\nu(w_j, N); j = 1, \dots, m\}$  then

$$F_{\nu,N} \equiv F_{\nu',N} \pmod{(I_m, p^N)},$$

and we get that

$$F_{\infty,N} := \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} F_{\nu,N} \in \Lambda/p^N \Lambda[[q]]$$

exists, and moreover they compile well for varying  $N$  giving us an element

$$\mathcal{F} = \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} F_{\infty,N} \in \Lambda[[q]]$$

which is easily seen to satisfy the statement of the theorem.

## 4 Hida's Theory

Discuss the general implications of this (the *principle of local constancy of  $U_p$ -unit eigenspace rank*). State Hida's theorem in slightly less generality than maximal. For this, fix  $p \geq 5$  a prime number and (a tame level)  $N$  a positive integer prime to  $p$ . Consider the tower of modular curves

$$\dots \rightarrow Y_1(Np^{r+1}) \rightarrow Y_1(Np^r) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow Y_1(Np)$$

which we will think of first as Riemann surfaces and later as algebraic curves defined over  $\mathbf{Q}$ . We can take (first: standard singular) homology with  $\mathbf{Z}_p$  coefficients:

$$H_1(Y_1(Np^r), \mathbf{Z}_p) = \Gamma_1(Np^r)^{\text{ab}} \otimes \mathbf{Z}_p.$$

Define the intermediate groups

$$\Phi_r := \Gamma_1(Np) \cap \Gamma_0(p^r)$$

so we have

$$\Gamma_1(Np^r) \subset \Phi_r \subset \Gamma_1(Np)$$

noting that  $\Phi_r$  is the normalizer of  $\Gamma_1(Np^r)$  in  $\Gamma_1(Np)$ .

Recall that  $\Gamma$  (undecorated) is the group of 1-units in  $\mathbf{Z}_p^*$  and let  $\Gamma_r \subset \Gamma$  be the subgroup of index  $p^r$ . Define a surjective homomorphism of groups

$$\Phi_r \rightarrow \Gamma/\Gamma_r$$

by the formula

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow d \pmod{p^r}$$

giving us the exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \Gamma_1(Np^r) \rightarrow \Phi_r \rightarrow \Gamma/\Gamma_r \rightarrow 1,$$

which, in turn, gives us an action of  $\Gamma/\Gamma_r$  on  $\Gamma_1(Np^r)^{\text{ab}}$  by conjugation, this being the *diamond action*. This extends, then, to give us a  $\Lambda$ -module structure  $\Gamma_1(Np^r)^{\text{ab}} \otimes \mathbf{Z}_p$  and from this, passing to the limit we may consider  $\mathbf{H} := \lim_r H_1(Y_1(Np^r), \mathbf{Z}_p)$  as  $\Lambda$ -module.

The action of  $U_p$  is the correspondence induced by the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & p \end{pmatrix}$$

via the following piece of “group theory.” Since  $U^{-1}\Gamma_1(Np^r)U \cap \Gamma_1(Np^r)$  is of finite index in  $\Gamma_1(Np^r)$  we have homomorphisms,

$$\Gamma_1(Np^r)^{\text{ab}} \rightarrow \{U^{-1}\Gamma_1(Np^r)U \cap \Gamma_1(Np^r)\}^{\text{ab}} \rightarrow \{\Gamma_1(Np^r) \cap U^{-1}\Gamma_1(Np^r)\}^{\text{ab}} \rightarrow \Gamma_1(Np^r)^{\text{ab}},$$

whose composition is the Atkin-lehner operator  $U_p$ .

In what follows below, the superscript “ord” will mean that we have passed to the maximal submodule on which  $U_p$  acts as a unit; equivalently, we have applied Hida’s projection operator.

Let  $\mathbf{T}^{\text{ord}} \subset \text{End}_{\Lambda}(\mathbf{H}^{\text{ord}})$  be the  $\Lambda$ -subalgebra generated by all the Hecke operators  $T_{\ell}$  for  $\ell$  not dividing  $pN$  and the  $U - q$ ’s for prime numbers  $q$  dividing  $pN$ , noting that  $U_p$  acts here as a unit, and by  $\Delta$ , the group of tame diamond operators mod  $p$ .

**Theorem 2** *The  $\Lambda$ -module*

$$\mathbf{H}^{\text{ord}} := \lim_r H_1(Y_1(Np^r), \mathbf{Z}_p)^{\text{ord}}$$

*is a free  $\Lambda$ -module of finite rank, and a perfect control theorem holds; that is, for all  $r \geq 1$*

$$\mathbf{H}^{\text{ord}} \otimes_{\Lambda} \mathbf{Z}_p[\Gamma/\Gamma_r] \cong H_1(Y_1(Np^r), \mathbf{Z}_p)^{\text{ord}}.$$

To avoid some very minor technical issues, let me state the next theorem in a special case.

**Theorem 3** *Let  $N = 1$  and  $m \subset \mathbf{T}^{\text{ord}}$  a maximal ideal, that is not an Eisenstein<sup>1</sup> maximal ideal. Let the subscript  $m$  denote completion with respect to  $m$ . Then the  $\mathbf{T}_m^{\text{ord}}$ -module*

$$\mathbf{H}_m^{\text{ord}} = \lim_r H_1(Y_1(p^r), \mathbf{Z}_p) \otimes_{\mathbf{T}} \mathbf{T}^{\text{ord}}$$

*is a free  $\mathbf{T}_m^{\text{ord}}$ -module of rank two.*

Discuss Eisenstein part, and cuspidal part, of this. Discuss action of Galois, and the representations

$$G_{\mathbf{Q},\{p,\infty\}} \longrightarrow \mathbf{GL}_2(\mathbf{T}_m^{\text{ord}}).$$

---

<sup>1</sup>This will be defined later: it is going to be equivalent to the statement that the residual representation is not reducible.

## References:

1. M. Emerton: A new proof of a theorem of Hida, IMRN, **9** (1999) 453-472.
2. M. Emerton: The Eisenstein ideal in Hida's Ordinary Hecke Algebra, IMRN **15** (1999) 793-802.
3. H. Hida: Galois representations into  $GL_2(\mathbf{Z}_p[[X]])$  attached to ordinary cusp forms, Invent. math. **85** (1986) 545-613.
4. H. Hida:  $p$ -Adic ordinary Hecke algebras for  $GL(2)$ , Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble **44** (1994) 1289-1322.