

SOME SUMMATION IDENTITIES

MONISHWARAN MAHESWARAN

ABSTRACT. Most of these identities found in the summer of 2017 while attending the Harvard Summer school.

Acknowledgement: thanks to Oliver Knill for several discussions and assistance in writing this down.

1. A SUM WHICH EVALUATES TO AN INTEGER

Theorem 1. *For any polynomial p with integer coefficients, the sum*

$$f(p) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p(k)/2^k$$

is an integer.

Proof. Summation by parts

$$\sum_{k=0}^n f_k [g_{k+1} - g(k)] = [f_{n+1}g_{n+1} - f_0g_0] - \sum_{k=0}^n g_{k+1} [f_{k+1} - f_k]$$

for $g_k = 2^{-k}$ and $f_k = p(k)$ gives in the limit $n \rightarrow \infty$ the formula

$$-\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p(k)/2^{k+1} = -p(0) - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (p(k+1) - p(k))/2^{k+1}.$$

Multiply by -2 to get

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p(k)/2^k = 2p(0) + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} q(k)/2^k,$$

where $q(k) = p(k+1) - p(k)$ is a polynomial of degree $n-1$. By induction on the degree of the polynomial using that for degree polynomials p the result is 1 proves the result. $\square$

Remark. My own proof was more complicated and got simplified by Oliver Knill using summation by parts. The sequence $a_n = f((1+x)^n)$ is known as the sequence A207047. The sequence $b_n = f(x^n)$ is the sequence A002050. It counts the number of simplices in a Barycentric subdivision of a n simplex.

2. MORE SUMS WHICH EVALUATE TO AN INTEGER

Theorem 2. *For every m and n , the sum*

$$f(m, n) = \frac{1}{e} \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} \frac{(x^n + 1)^n}{x!}$$

is an integer

Proof. Define $g(y) = (1/e) \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} (x^m + y)^n / x!$ and use induction, by differentiation with respect to y . For example, $f(0, n) = 2^n$ and $f(m, 0) = 1$. $\square$

3. A SUM WITH A FACTORIAL

Theorem 3.

$$\sum_{x=1}^{\infty} 1/(2^x x!) = e^{1/2} - 1$$

Proof. Use Taylor $e^y = \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} y^x / x!$. $\square$

4. A SUM LEADING TO A INTEGER POLYNOMIAL

Theorem 4. $\frac{1}{e} \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} (x + y)^k / x!$ *is an integer polynomial in y .*

Proof. Use induction with respect to k . A special interesting case is $y = i$. $\square$

5. A SUM OF RATIONAL FUNCTIONS

Theorem 5.

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2 + n + k} = \pi \frac{\tan(\sqrt{1-4k}\pi/2)}{\sqrt{1-4k}}$$

Not yet done.

Theorem 6.

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n(2n+1)(2n-1)} = \log(4) - 1$$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n(2n+1)(2n-1)} = \log(2) - 1$$

Proof. Make a Taylor series

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n(2n+1)(2n-1)} = \log(1-x) + (1+x) \operatorname{arctanh}(\sqrt{x})/\sqrt{x}$$

The case $x \rightarrow 1$ is a limit. $\square$

6. A SUM WHICH EVALUATES TO A LOGARITHM

Theorem 7.

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{n^{-nk}}{nk} = -\log(1 - n^{-n})/n$$

Proof. It follows from the more general identity

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{x^{nk}}{nk} = -\log(1 - x^n)/n$$

from $x = 1/n$. The identity for a function is obtained by differentiation with respect to x :

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x^{nk} = x^{n-1}/(1 - x^n)$$

which is a geometric sum. □

Remark. This example shows how it can be useful to generalize the problem. It has become easier by solving a more general sum.

7. A SERIES WITH A BINOMIAL

Theorem 8. $\sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^k \sin(ak) \binom{n}{k} = (-2)^n \sin(a/2)^n \sin((an + n\pi)/2)$

Proof. This follows from the geometric series

$$\sum_{k=1}^n e^{-iak} \binom{n}{k} = (1 + e^{-ia})^n - 1$$

□

8. A CURIOUS ARCCOT SUM

Theorem 9. $\cot(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{arccot}((1+k)^2/2)) = 1/3$

Remark. This is not yet proven. Originally, I wrote it as $\cot[\sum_n \operatorname{arccot}((2/n^2) \sum_{k=1}^n k^3)]$ but this simplifies to the above sum. One brutal way to attack the problem is to write arccot as a Taylor series $\operatorname{arccot}(x) = \pi/4 + (x-1)/2 + (x-1)^2/4 \dots$ then sum each term.

9. A FIBONACCI SUM

Theorem 10. *If $F(k)$ is the k 'th Fibonacci number, then $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} F(k)/2^k = 2$*

Proof. The direct proof can be done using Binet's formula $F(k) = \phi^k - (-1/\phi)^k / \sqrt{5}$, where $\phi = (1 + \sqrt{5})/2$ is the golden ratio.

An other proof can be done by proving a more general formula

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} F(k)x^k = x/(x^2 + x - 1)$$

and applying it for $x = 1/2$. The formula can be obtained by multiplying both sides with $x^2 + x + 1$ and using the identity $F(k+1) = F(k) + F(k-1)$. □

Added August 20:

Theorem 11. *If $F(k)$ is the k 'th Fibonacci number, then $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{2k+F(k)}{3^k} = \frac{21}{20}$.*

Proof. Add

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} F(k)x^k = -x/(x^2 + x - 1)$$

and

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2kx^k = 2x/(x-1)^2$$

to get

$$\frac{x(x^2 + 4x - 3)}{(x-1)^2(x^2 + x - 1)}.$$

Evaluated at $1/3$ this gives $21/20$. □

Remarks.

1) More generally, along the same lines, one has

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (nk + F(k))/p^k = p \left(\frac{n}{(p-1)^2} + \frac{1}{p^2 - p - 1} \right)$$

2) Other identities are

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} kF(k)/2^k &= 10 \\ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} F(k)^2/3^k &= 3/2 \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 12. *If $F(k)$ is the k 'th Fibonacci number and $L(k)$ is the k 'th Lucas number, then $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{F(k)L(k)}{3^k} = 3$.*

Proof. Since $L(k)L(k) = F(2k)$, this is equivalent to

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} F(2k)/3^k = 3$$

which is solved similarly computing the generating function

$$f(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} F(2k)x^k$$

and setting $x = 1/3$. The function $f(x)$ is $-\frac{2(5+3\sqrt{5})x}{\sqrt{5}(-2x+\sqrt{5}+3)((3+\sqrt{5})x-2)}$. One can get this by noticing that $G(n) = F(2n)$ is A001906 which has the recursion $G(n) = 3 * G(n-1) - G(n-2)$. □

10. SUMMATION OF A TRIGONOMETRIC FUNCTION

Theorem 13. *With $p(x) = \sin^3(x)$ the following identity holds:*

$$S(n) = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{p(3^k)}{3^k} = \frac{\sin(3) - \sin(3^{n+1})}{4 \cdot 3^n}.$$

Proof. Start with the identity

$$\sin(3a) = 3\sin(a) - 4\sin^3(a)$$

to get

$$(3\sin(a) - \sin(3a))/4 = \sin^3(a) .$$

Now use this with $a = 3^k$:

$$\begin{aligned} S(n) &= \sum_{k=0}^n \sin^3(k)/3^k \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n [3\sin(3^k) - \sin(3^{k+1})/4]/3^k \\ &= \frac{3}{4} \left[\sum_{k=0}^n \sin(3^k)/3^k - \sum_{k=0}^n \sin(3^{k+1})/3^{k+1} \right] . \end{aligned}$$

This can be written as a telescopic sum:

$$\frac{3}{4} \left(\left[\frac{\sin(3^0)}{3^0} - \frac{\sin(3^2)}{3^2} \right] + \left[\frac{\sin(3^1)}{3^1} - \frac{\sin(3^2)}{3^2} \right] + \cdots + \left[\frac{\sin(3^n)}{3^n} - \frac{\sin(3^{n+1})}{3^{n+1}} \right] \right) .$$

This reduces to $(1/4)[\sin(3) - \sin(3^{n+1})]/3^n$. $\square$

Remark. This looks first like a geometric series in disguise. But it is not. It is interesting however that if we replace 3 with some other integer, it does not work. The summation also does not work if $\sin$ is replaced by $\cos$. Nor does it if we take $p(x) = \exp(x)$ or $\exp(ix)$.

11. MORE TRIGONOMETRIC SUMS

[This was updated August 25, 2017].

Theorem 14. $\sum_{x=1}^n \sin(a + bx) = \csc\left(\frac{b}{2}\right) \sin\left(\frac{bn}{2}\right) \sin\left(\frac{1}{2}(2a + bn + b)\right)$.

Proof. Write $\sin(a + bx) = \text{Im}(\exp(i(a + bx)))$ and use a geometric series. $\square$

Theorem 15. $\sum_{x=1}^{\infty} \sin(x)/x = (1 + \pi)/2$.

Proof. (With the assistance of Oliver Knill). This is a Fourier series in disguise. Replace first x by n to get $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sin(n)/n = (1 + \pi)/2$. Then fill in more generally a variable x in $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sin(nx)/n$ so that we have a Fourier series with coefficients $b_n = 1/n$. Now write $\sin(nx) = \text{Im}(e^{inx})$ and use $z = e^{ix}$ to get the imaginary part of $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z^n/n = -\log(1 - z)$. We can use this to get an explicit expression $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sin(nx)/n = \frac{1}{2}i (\log(1 - e^{ix}) - \log(1 - e^{-ix}))$. To get the original sum, just fill in $x = 1$. $\square$

Theorem 16. $\sum_{x=1}^{\infty} \sin(x)/x! = \sin(\sin(1))(\sinh(\cos(1)) + \cosh(\cos(1)))$.

Proof. This is similar as before, we deal with the Fourier series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sin(nx)/n!$. And use $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z^n/n! = \exp(z)$ with $z = \exp(ix)$. This gives the explicit formula $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sin(nx)/n! = \sin(\sin(x))(\sinh(\cos(x)) + \cosh(\cos(x)))$. $\square$

12. LEIBNIZ LIKE SUMS

Theorem 17. $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k / (3k+1) = (\sqrt{3}\pi + \log(8))/9$.

Proof. (With the assistance of Oliver Knill): Similarly as the sum $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k / (1+2k) = \pi/4$ follows from making the Fourier expansion of $\text{sign}(x)$, one can take the series

$$f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sin(1+2k)/(1+3k)$$

and evaluate it at $\pi/2$. But the function does not appear to be elementary. Mathematica gives expressions using Hypergeometric functions. Evaluating it at $\pi/2$ is however simple. $\square$

13. INVOLVING THE ZETA FUNCTION

These were added September 1, 2017 $\zeta(n)$ is the **Riemann zeta function**. $\Gamma_k(x)$ is the k 'th **Poly gamma function**, the k 'th derivative of the **Digamma function** $\Gamma_0(x) = \Gamma'(x)/\Gamma(x)$. $\gamma = 0.5777216\dots$ is the **Euler Gamma function**.

Theorem 18. $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n\zeta(n+1)/2^n = \pi^2/6$.

Proof. $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n\zeta(n+1)x^n = x\Gamma_1(1-x)$. Now plug in $x = 1/2$. $\square$

Theorem 19. $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \zeta(n)/2^n = \log(2)$.

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (-1)^k \zeta(n)/2^n = 1 - \log(2).$$

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (1 + (-1)^n) \zeta(n)/2^n = 1$$

Proof. $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \zeta(n)x^n = -x\gamma - x\Gamma_0(1-x)$. Now plug in $x = 1/2$ or $x = -1/2$. Addition gives the third. The third one is equivalent to

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \zeta(2n)/4^n = 1/2.$$

$\square$

Remark (by Oliver Knill): one can write this out as

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m^n 2^n} = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2m)^n} = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [\zeta(n) - \lambda(n)],$$

where λ is the Dirichlet λ -function, a special Dirichlet L -series. So, one has

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (\zeta(n) - \lambda(n)) = \log(2)$$

Generalizing gives

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (\zeta(n) - \lambda(n))x^n = (-x/2)(\gamma - \Gamma_0(1-x/2))$$

14. INVOLVING THE GAMMA FUNCTION

Theorem 20. $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\Gamma'(n)/\Gamma(n))/2^n = \log(2) - \gamma$.

Proof. $\sum_n (\Gamma'(n)/\Gamma(n))x^n = \frac{x}{x-1}[\gamma + \log(1-x)]$ $\square$

15. DOUBLE SUMMATION

This example was added September 8, 2017:

Theorem 21. $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^n \frac{1}{(nm)^2} = \frac{7\pi^4}{360} = 7\zeta(4)/4.$

No justification yet. Related is $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^n \frac{1}{((n+1)m)^2} = \frac{\pi^4}{120}.$ There might be a relation also with $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{nm^2} = (\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2})^2 = \zeta(2)^2 = \pi^4/36.$

16. POLYLOG SUMS WHICH EVALUATE TO AN INTEGER

This example was added September 15, 2018:

Theorem 22. *For any integer k the sum*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{k-1} \frac{(x-1)^k}{x^n}$$

is an integer polynomial.

For example, for $k = 15, x = 3$, it evaluates to 696933753434112.

Proof. For $k = 1$, the result is 1 as it reduces to a geometric series. One can now use induction. If k is increased by 1, and integrated with respect to x we get a polynomial by induction $\square$

Remark. With the polylog $\text{Poly}(k, x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x^n/n^k$ one can write $\text{Poly}(-k, 1/x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^k/x^n$. Like the logarithm $\log(x) = \text{Poly}(1, 1 - 1/x)$ also the Polylog has the property $\text{Poly}(-k, x) = -\text{Poly}(-k, 1/x)$. One has therefore the equality of polynomials

$$\text{Poly}(-k, x)(1-x)^{k+1} = -\text{Poly}(-k, 1/x)(1-x)^{k+1}.$$

The statement is equivalent that $\text{Poly}(-k, x)(1-x)^{k+1}$ is a polynomial.

17. TWO SUMMANDS

If $[x]$ is the floor function, then $[e^{\pi}] - [\pi^e] = 1$ and $[e^{\pi} - \pi^e] = 0.$

E-mail address: mom359@g.harvard.edu

HARVARD SUMMER SCHOOL