

# LINEAR ALGEBRA AND VECTOR ANALYSIS

MATH 22B

## Unit 22: Stability

### LECTURE

**22.1.** A **linear dynamical system** is either a **discrete time dynamical system**  $x(t+1) = Ax(t)$  or a **continuous time dynamical systems**  $x'(t) = Ax(t)$ . It is called **asymptotically stable** if for all initial conditions  $x(0)$ , the orbit  $x(t)$  converges to the origin 0 as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . The one-dimensional case is clear: the discrete time system  $x(t+1) = \lambda x(t)$  has the solution  $x(t) = \lambda^t x(0)$  and is asymptotically stable if and only if  $|\lambda| < 1$ . The continuous time system  $x'(t) = \lambda x(t)$  has the solution  $x(t) = e^{\lambda t} x(0)$ . This is asymptotically stable if and only if  $\lambda < 0$ . If  $\lambda = a + ib$ , then  $e^{(a+ib)t} = e^{at} e^{ibt}$  shows that asymptotic stability happens if  $\text{Re}(\lambda) = a < 0$ .

**22.2.** Let us first discuss the stability for discrete dynamical systems  $x(t+1) = Ax(t)$ , where  $A$  is a  $n \times n$  matrix.

**22.3.**

**Theorem:** A discrete dynamical system  $x(t+1) = Ax(t)$  is asymptotically stable if and only if all eigenvalues of  $A$  satisfy  $|\lambda_j| < 1$ .

*Proof.* (i) If  $A$  has an eigenbasis, this follows from the closed-form solution: assume  $|\lambda_k| \leq \lambda < 1$ . From  $|x(t)| \leq |c_1| |\lambda_1|^t + \dots + |c_n| |\lambda_n|^t \leq (\sum_i |c_i|) |\lambda|^t$ , we see that the solution approaches 0 exponentially fast.

(ii) The general case needs the **Jordan normal form theorem** proven below which tells that every matrix  $A$  can be conjugated to  $B + N$ , where  $B$  is the diagonal matrix containing the eigenvalues and  $N^n = 0$ . We have now  $(B + N)^t = B^t + B(n, 1)B^{t-1}N + \dots + B(n, n)B^{t-n}N^{n-1}$ , where  $B(n, k)$  are the Binomial coefficients. The eigenvalues of  $A$  are the same as the eigenvalues of  $B$ . By (i), we have  $B^t \rightarrow 0$ . So, also  $A^t \rightarrow 0$ .  $\square$

**22.4.** In the case of **continuous time dynamical system**  $x'(t) = Ax(t)$ , the complex eigenvalues will later play an important role but they are also important for discrete dynamical systems.

**22.5.**

**Theorem:** A continuous dynamical system is asymptotically stable if and only if all eigenvalues satisfy  $\text{Re}(\lambda_j) < 0$ .

*Proof.* We can see this as a discrete time dynamical system with time step  $U = e^A$  because the solution  $e^{At}$  can be written as  $U^t$ . We need therefore that  $e^{\lambda_j(U)} < 1$ . Which is equivalent to  $\operatorname{Re}(\lambda_j) < 0$ .  $\square$

**22.6.** A  $m \times m$  matrix  $J$  is a **Jordan block**, if  $Je_1 = \lambda e_1$ , and  $Je_k = \lambda e_k + e_{k+1}$  for  $k = 2, \dots, m$ . A matrix is **Jordan normal form** if it is block diagonal, where each block is a **Jordan block**. The shear matrix  $J = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  is an example of a  $2 \times 2$  Jordan block.

**Theorem:** Every  $A \in M(n, n)$  is similar to  $B \in M(n, n)$  in Jordan normal form.

*Proof.* A vector  $v$  is called a **generalized eigenvector** of the eigenvalue  $\lambda$  of  $A$  if  $(A - \lambda)^m v = 0$  for some  $m > 0$ . The smallest integer  $m$  for which the relation holds is called the **eigenvector rank** of  $v$ . If the eigenvector rank is 1, then  $v$  is an actual eigenvector.

**22.7.** Take an eigenvalue  $\lambda$  of  $A$  and pick the maximal  $m$  for which there is a generalized eigenvector of rank  $m$ . This means that there is a vector  $v_m$  such that  $(A - \lambda)^m v_m = 0$  but  $(A - \lambda)^{m-1} v_m \neq 0$ . Now define  $v_k = (A - \lambda)^{m-k} v_m$ . The vector  $v_1 = (A - \lambda)^{m-1} v_m$  is an eigenvector of  $A$  because  $(A - \lambda)v_1 = 0$ . Because  $(A - \lambda)v_{k+1} = v_k$  and  $(A - \lambda)v_1 = 0$ , on the space  $V$  spanned by  $\mathcal{B} = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_m\}$ , the matrix is given by a Jordan block with 0 in the diagonal. This space  $V$  is called a **generalized eigenspace** of  $A$ . It is left invariant by  $A$ .

**22.8.** Take a generalized eigenspace  $V$  defined by an eigenvector  $v_1$  and a generalized eigenspace  $W$  defined by another eigenvector  $w_1$  not parallel to  $v_1$ . Claim:  $V$  and  $W$  have no common vector. Proof: Assume  $x = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i v_i = \sum_{j=1}^l b_j w_j$ . Then  $(A - \lambda)x = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i v_{i-1} = \sum_{j=1}^l b_j w_{j-1}$ . If  $m \neq l$ , and say  $m < l$  then applying  $(A - \lambda)$   $m - 1$  times gives  $a_m v_1 = b_{1+l-m} w_{1+l-m}$  but since  $v_1$  is an eigenvector and  $v_{1+l-m}$  is not, this does not work. If  $m = l$ , then we end up with  $a_m v_1 = b_m w_1$  which is not possible as  $v_1$  and  $w_1$  are not parallel unless  $a_m = b_m = 0$ . Now repeat the same argument but only apply  $m - 2$  times. This gives  $a_{m-1} v_{m-1} = b_{m-1} w_{m-1}$  but this implies  $v_{m-1}, w_{m-1}$  are parallel showing again  $v_m$  is parallel to  $w_m$ . We get  $a_{m-1} = b_{m-1} = 0$  and eventually see that all  $a_k = b_k = 0$ .

**22.9.** The proof of the theorem for  $n \times n$  matrices uses induction with respect to  $n$ . The case  $n = 1$  is clear as a  $1 \times 1$  matrix is already in Jordan normal form. Now assume that for every  $k < n$ , every  $k \times k$  matrix is similar to a matrix in Jordan normal form. Take a generalized eigenvector  $v$  and build the Jordan normal block acting on the generalized eigenspace  $V$ . By the previous paragraph, we can find a basis such that  $V^\perp$  is invariant. Using induction, there is a Jordan decomposition for  $A$  acting on the  $V^\perp$ . The matrix  $A$  has in this bases now a Jordan decomposition with an additional block.  $\square$

**22.10.** This implies the **Cayley-Hamilton theorem**:

**Theorem:** If  $p$  is the characteristic polynomial of  $A$ , then  $p(A) = 0$ .

*Proof.* It is enough to show this for a matrix in Jordan normal form for which the characteristic polynomial is  $\lambda^m$ . But  $A^m = 0$ .  $\square$

#### EXAMPLES

**22.11.** You have a **checking account** of one thousand dollars and a **savings account** with one thousand dollars. Every day, you pay 0.001 percent to the bank for both accounts. But the deal is sweet: your checking account will get every day 1000 times the amount in the savings account. Will you get rich?

$$\begin{aligned}c(t+1) &= 0.999c_n + 1000s_n \\s(t+1) &= 0.999s_n.\end{aligned}$$

We will discuss this in class.

**22.12.** For which constants  $a$  is the system  $x(t+1) = Ax(t)$  stable?

a)  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & a \\ a & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ , b)  $A = \begin{bmatrix} a & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ , c)  $A = \begin{bmatrix} a & a \\ a & a \end{bmatrix}$ .

**Solution.**

a) The trace is zero, the determinant is  $-a^2$ . We have stability if  $|a| < 1$ . You can also see this from the eigenvalues,  $a, -a$ .

b) Look at the trace-determinant plane. The trace is  $a$ , the determinant  $-1$ . This is nowhere inside the stability triangle so that the system is always unstable.

c) The eigenvalues are  $0, 2a$ . The system is stable if and only if  $|2a| < 1$  which means  $|a| < 1/2$ .

**22.13.** In two dimensions, we can see asymptotic stability from the trace and determinant. The reason is that the characteristic polynomial and so the eigenvalues only need the trace and determinant.

A two dimensional discrete dynamical system has asymptotic stability if and only if  $(\text{tr}(A), \det(A))$  is contained in the interior of the **stability triangle** bounded by the lines  $\det(A) = 1$ ,  $\det(A) = \text{tr}(A) - 1$  and  $\det(A) = -\text{tr}(A) - 1$ .

Proof: Write  $T = \text{tr}(A)/2$ ,  $D = \det(A)$ . If  $|D| \geq 1$ , there is no asymptotic stability. If  $\lambda = T + \sqrt{T^2 - D} = \pm 1$ , then  $T^2 - D = (\pm 1 - T)^2$  and  $D = 1 \pm 2T$ . For  $D \leq -1 + |2T|$  we have a real eigenvalue  $\geq 1$ . The conditions for stability is therefore  $D > |2T| - 1$ . It implies automatically  $D > -1$  so that the triangle can be described shortly as  $|\text{tr}(A)| - 1 < \det(A) < 1$ .

For a two-dimensional continuous dynamical system we have asymptotic stability if and only if  $\text{tr}(A) < 0$  and  $\det(A) > 0$ .

HOMEWORK

This homework is due on Tuesday, 4/02/2019.

**Problem 22.1:** Determine whether the matrix is stable for the discrete dynamical system or for the continuous dynamical system or for both: a)

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & -3 \\ 3 & -2 \end{bmatrix}, \text{ b) } B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \text{ c) } C = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

**Problem 22.2:** True or false? We say  $A$  is stable if the origin  $\vec{0}$  is asymptotically stable for  $x(t+1) = A(x(t))$ . Give short explanations:

- a) 1 is stable.
- b) 0 matrix is stable.
- c) a horizontal shear is stable
- d) a reflection matrix is stable.
- e)  $A$  is stable if and only if  $A^T$  is stable.
- f)  $A$  is stable if and only if  $A^{-1}$  is stable.
- g)  $A$  is stable if and only if  $A + 1$  is stable.
- h)  $A$  is stable if and only if  $A^2$  is stable.
- i)  $A$  is stable if  $A^2 = 0$ .
- j)  $A$  is unstable if  $A^2 = A$ .
- k)  $A$  is stable if  $A$  is diagonalizable.

**Problem 22.3:** a) Check the Cayley-Hamilton theorem for the matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}. \text{ b) Ditto for a rotation dilation matrix defined by } a, b.$$

**Problem 22.4:** For which real values  $k$  does the drawing rule

$$\begin{aligned} x(t+1) &= x(t) - ky(t) \\ y(t+1) &= y(t) + kx(t+1) \end{aligned}$$

produce trajectories which are ellipses? Write first the system as a discrete dynamical system  $v(t+1) = Av(t)$ , then look for  $k$  values for which the eigenvalues satisfy  $|\lambda_k| = 1$ .

**Problem 22.5:** Find the eigenvalues of

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & a & b & c & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a & b & c & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & a & b & c \\ c & 0 & 0 & 0 & a & b \\ b & c & 0 & 0 & 0 & a \\ a & b & c & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Where  $a, b$  and  $c$  are arbitrary constants. Verify that the discrete dynamical system is stable for  $|a| + |b| + |c| < 1$ .